PREFACE



# Preface Issue 4-2015

Hans-Christoph Grunau<sup>1</sup>

© Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

In their survey article on "Stochastic PDEs and lack of regularity", Dirk Blömker and Marco Romito discuss a nonlinear partial differential equation which may serve as a phenomenological model for the growth of an amorphous surface. This equation contains an additional noise term which models fluctuations of the flow of incoming particles. The authors mention that similar equations are used to model the formation of sand or snow ripples and that their model has striking similarities to the Kadar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation. While it is possible to prove local (in time) existence of strong solutions, which are also unique, the problem of whether they are global (in time) or may blow up in finite time remains an important open problem. In one space dimension (i.e., assuming invariance with respect to the second spatial variable) the authors prove the existence of global (in time) weak solutions where, however, uniqueness is left open. Similar difficulties due to the lack of regularity arise also e.g. in the time-dependent 3D-Navier-Stokes equations.

Jacques Tits is one of the most influential group theorist and algebraic geometers and received, among many other awards, in 1996 the Cantor medal of the Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung and in 2008 the Abel Prize of the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters. Two years ago, Jacques Tits' "Collected Works" appeared which are subject of this issue's "Classics Revisited"-contribution. Linus Kramer highlights some of their contents grouping them according to their themes.

Walter D. van Suijlekom gives a summary and his opinion of Frederic Paugam's recent book "Towards the mathematics of quantum field theory".

H.-Ch. Grunau hans-christoph.grunau@ovgu.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institut für Analysis und Numerik, Fakultät für Mathematik, Otto-von-Guericke-Universität, Postfach 4120, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany

SURVEY ARTICLE



# Stochastic PDEs and Lack of Regularity

A Surface Growth Equation with Noise: Existence, Uniqueness, and Blow-up

Dirk Blömker<sup>1</sup> · Marco Romito<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 6 October 2015 © Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

**Abstract** We review results on the existence and uniqueness for a surface growth model with or without space–time white noise. If the surface is a graph, then this model has striking similarities to the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations in terms of energy estimates and scaling properties, and in both models the question of uniqueness of global weak solutions remains open.

In the physically relevant dimension d = 2 and with the physically relevant spacetime white noise driving the equation, the direct fixed-point argument for mild solutions fails, as there is not sufficient regularity for the stochastic forcing. The situation is the simplest case where the method of regularity structures introduced by Martin Hairer can be applied, although we follow here a significantly simpler approach to highlight the key problems. Using spectral Galerkin method or any other type of regularization of the noise, one can give a rigorous meaning to the stochastic PDE and show existence and uniqueness of local solutions in that setting. Moreover, several types of regularization seem to yield all the same solution.

We finally comment briefly on possible blow up phenomena and show with a simple argument that many complex-valued solutions actually do blow up in finite time. This shows that energy estimates alone are not enough to verify global uniqueness of solutions. Results in this direction are known already for the 3D-Navier Stokes by Li and Sinai, treating complex valued solutions, and more recently by Tao by constructing an equation of Navier-Stokes type with blow up.

Keywords Local existence and uniqueness  $\cdot$  Surface growth model  $\cdot$  Regularization of noise  $\cdot$  Fixed point argument  $\cdot$  Mild solution

D. Blömker dirk.bloemker@math.uni-augsburg.de
 M. Romito romito@dm.unipi.it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo Bruno Pontecorvo 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 35B33 · 35B45 · 35B65 · 35K55 · 35Qxx · 35R60 · 60G15 · 60H15

# **1** Introduction

The analysis of mathematical models for the study of surface growth phenomena has attracted attention in recent years from both the physical and the mathematical communities. In this paper we focus on one special 4-th order equations with quadratic nonlinearity that does not allow for maximum principle or gradient flow structures and has striking similarities to the Navier-Stokes equation in dimension 3 and the Kadar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation.

The equation is of the type

$$\partial_t h = -\Delta^2 h - \Delta |\nabla h|^2 + \xi$$

where  $h(t, \cdot)$  is the graph of the surface at time t > 0 over a *d*-dimensional domain (with d = 1, 2), and  $\xi$  is space-time white noise. Here  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplacian and  $\nabla$  the gradient of *h*.

In Sect. 2 we give a brief introduction to stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) and space-time white noise. For the whole paper we focus on the relatively simple setting of additive noise only, which is present in most of the applications.

#### 1.1 Physical Model

Let us first discuss physical properties of the model. For mathematical details on the model see the exposition in Sect. 1.3. For additional references see [23, 44, 77, 78, 84–86, 100] or Da Prato & Zabczyk [32, Sect. 13.14]. For general surveys on surface growth processes and molecular beam epitaxy see the classical references by Barabási & Stanley [3] or Halpin-Healy & Zhang [54].

Equation (1), which is sometimes referred to as a mass-conservative version of the KPZ model, arises in several models for surface growth. The two-dimensional version was for example suggested in [84–86] as a phenomenological model for the growth of an amorphous surface ( $Zr_{65}Al_{7,5}Cu_{27,5}$ ). But similar equations appear also in the formation of sand or snow ripples. Later the equation has also become a model for ion-sputtering, where a surface is eroded by a ion-beam, see Cuerno & Barabási [27], Castro et al. [23] or [77, 78]. The one-dimensional equation appeared also as a model for the boundaries of terraces in the epitaxy of Silicon [44]. Further details on the model can be found in [65, 100, 105, 112].

**Derivation of the Model** In the literature there are two possible methods suggested for the derivation of our model. The first one is a symmetry argument. One assumes that on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  the equation only contains terms that are invariant under all symmetry operations, which do not change the growth process. These are for example rotations of the underlying domain, reflections  $x_i \mapsto -x_i$ , or translations in both x and h. Furthermore, the equation should conserve mass, as the model is supposed to be in a moving frame following the average growth rate of the surface. So the right-hand side needs to be a divergence. There are only two linear and two quadratic terms that satisfy all these requirements. Higher order terms are usually neglected in the physics literature, for example by considering a small gradient expansion of the nonlinearity. Two terms are already present in our equation, which are  $-\Delta^2 h$  and  $-\Delta |\nabla h|^2$ . The missing linear term  $-\Delta h$  is of lower order and usually associated with the formation of hills. The other quadratic term is the determinant of the Hessian of h, which has a completely different nature than the term studied here. We comment on both terms in more detail in Sect. 1.3.

Another approach that leads to models of these type is more geometric in nature. First consider motion on the surface by surface diffusion, which leads in a linearization to the  $-\Delta^2$ . The nonlinear term then arises by the more complicated geometric argument that particles are attracted to the surface and not fall down vertically. This leads to an effective uphill current of mass, depending on the slope of the surface, which in first order gives  $-\Delta h$  and in second order the non-linearity considered here.

**Surface Roughness** The typical physical quantity of interest is the roughness of the surface. This is measured as the averaged quadratic deviation from the spatial mean of the surface. As one considers a moving frame, this is usually just the expectation of the squared  $L^2$ -norm.

The question is now to determine characteristic exponents  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and z, and also the so called universality class of the model, such that for a system size of order L one can characterize the scaling of the initial growth and the later saturation:

mean surface roughness 
$$\sim \begin{cases} t^{\beta} : t \ll L^{z} \\ L^{\alpha} : t \gg L^{z} \end{cases}$$

Unfortunately our mathematical analysis of the problem is at an earlier stage and does not allow yet to give rigorous conclusions on these questions. For analytic estimates see for example [14], or we refer the reader to the rich physics literature.

**Hill Formation and Coarsening** Characteristic of all these models with an additional lower order linearity is the formation of parabola shaped hills, with sharp, almost corner like, valleys in between. See Fig. 1 for a one-dimensional numerical calculation. But also in several two-dimensional experiments, due to an initial linear instability of the flat surface, the solution exhibits many paraboloid hills with sharp valleys in between. Over time these hills tend to coarsen, when larger hills just bury the smaller ones. See [81] for a reduced model in one dimension given by the position of the interfaces.

In none of the numerical or real world experiments chaotic or irregular behavior was observed, which is different from other well-known and quite similar models of surface growth. One example with similar structure is the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, which exhibits quite complicated dynamics and is also used to model the propagation of flame fronts or interfaces inside of fluids.

#### 1.2 Obstacles and Difficulties in the Mathematical Analysis of the Model

A crucial mathematical open problem for our model equation, with or without random perturbations, is the uniqueness of global solutions. Indeed, in one space dimension it is possible to show existence of locally unique and smooth solutions, as



**Fig. 1** Four snapshot at time 0.2, 0.3, 0, 4, and 0.7 of a numerical solution of the surface growth equation on  $[0, 2\pi]$  with additional linear instability  $-10\partial_x^2 h$ . The hills look like parabolas with sharp valleys in between.

well as of global weak solutions, but unfortunately there is a gap in the regularity requirements for the initial condition and thus global solutions are neither unique nor smooth. As such the problem is reminiscent of the celebrated millennium problem of existence of global smooth solutions for the Navier–Stokes equations. Here in two spatial dimensions the problem is even harder, at least at the level of existence of weak solutions, due to some *a-priori* estimates that fail to be true in dimension higher than one.

In dimension two, for the stochastic PDE, there is an additional difficulty: the driving force, which is space-time white noise, is a rough forcing and in principle, even in a weak formulation, the solution does not acquire enough regularity to give a meaning to the nonlinearity. In the following, we shall discuss all these points in more detail.

**Local Solutions** Local existence and uniqueness of solutions can be straightforwardly proved by a fixed point theorem, both with and without noise perturbations. Let us summarize the already known results here.

A first preliminary result on local uniqueness in spaces of quite regular functions for the stochastic PDE in dimension d = 1 and d = 2 can be found in [10]. The existence and uniqueness of a regularized problem with a cut-off in the nonlinearity in dimension d = 2 has been studied in Hoppe, Linz & Litvinov [56]. Another approach for local uniqueness and global existence based on Galerkin approximations combined with local monotonicity and generalized coercivity conditions is established by the application of an abstract result by Röckner et al. [71, 88]. But this seems, at least in terms of initial conditions, not yet optimal, as it needs more regular initial conditions than the critical case.

In the one dimensional case the uniqueness of local solutions with initial values in a critical Hilbert space was established in [16]. This space is at the borderline of regularity for the fixed point argument. See Sect. 3.1 for more details on the scaling invariance of the equation and quantities critical for the scaling, namely quantities invariant by scaling.

Existence and uniqueness in the largest possible critical space of initial conditions where local existence and uniqueness holds can be achieved using the ideas introduced by Koch & Tataru [62] for the Navier–Stokes equation. It has been implemented for the surface growth equation in [17], and we summarize it in Sect. 3. It turns out that this space is equivalent to the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{0,\infty}$ . But, as we will see, there still remains a wide gap of regularity from the largest critical space to the global a-priori bounds that are used to show global existence of weak solutions. These are obtained in much weaker spaces.

Weak Solutions For d = 1 existence of global weak solutions follows by an *a-priori* estimate of the mean squared height of the surface (see Sect. 1.5) and by standard compactness methods. For the stochastic PDE this can be extended to compactness in spaces of probability measures. The existence of global weak solutions on bounded domains has been first studied for our equation in [12] (see also the references therein), based on spectral Galerkin methods. See also the discussion of the improved results in [9] below.

Unfortunately the estimate fails to hold in larger spatial dimensions. Without noise in one spatial dimension, Stein & Winkler [103] used Rothe's method, which is a fully implicit discretization of the time-derivative, in order to verify the existence of a global weak solution that is only uniformly bounded in a weak topology given by the  $H^{-1}$ -norm. This result has been extended by Winkler [115] to the two-dimensional case, using energy type estimates for the Lyapunov functional  $\int e^h dx$  (cf. Sect. 1.6). Existence of weak solutions of the stochastic PDE in the physical dimension two is, so far, an open problem, which should be solvable by combining the stochastic approach with the results of [103, 115].

**Convergence to Equilibrium** Existence of weak solutions for the stochastic PDE has been significantly improved in [9] using the method developed by Flandoli & Romito [40, 42] (see also the related results [39, 41, 89, 91, 93]) in order to verify the existence of a solution that defines a Markov process. We review this in Sect. 5. For related results see [30, 34] and [47]. The method allows to define a Markov evolution associated to the equation and thus to introduce invariant measures. These are random initial distributions that are invariant under the dynamics. It turns out that for any Markov process that defines a solution the invariant measures are stable enough that there is relaxation to the unique equilibrium.

**Blow-up and Singularities** Our analysis shows that local solutions are smooth, although we do not control the lifespan of the solution. The mathematical results known so far do not rule out the emergence of singularities. This question is wide open, but some analytic results show that there are quite strong limitations on the type of blow up. We conjecture that if there is a blow up, most likely it will be a logarithmic pole in the sharp valleys.

In contrast, many numerical experiments do not report any problems of blow up, see Hoppe & Nash [57, 58] or [84, 86]. Furthermore, numerical experiments from Blömker, Gugg & Raible [12] indicate a fast convergence of spectral Galerkin methods for statistical quantities like the averaged surface roughness for the stochastic PDE.

There are also unpublished numerical results by Michael Winkler that seem to indicate blow-up behavior for the deterministic equations with an additional strong linear instability, that is a lower order term which should not influence the question of existence and uniqueness. On the contrary Nolde et al. [15] are working on numerical verification of uniqueness, and their preliminary results look promising. These are based on Robinson et al. [24, 33] or related results by Morosi & Pizzocchero [73–75]. The method though only works for given fixed initial conditions or a fixed small ball of initial conditions. There are strong hints, see [94] for a general result and heuristic considerations, that additive noise should not be effective in preventing blow-up when this already happens in the deterministic equation.

Energy Estimates Are Not Sufficient to Prevent Blow-up Recently, there has been interest in finding solutions for modified Navier-Stokes equations that do blow up by failing to be regular. Tao [108] constructed a modified nonlinearity that exhibits the same energy estimate than 3D-Navier-Stokes, but does admit solutions that blow up in finite time. A different approach in that spirit was presented by Li & Sinai [68, 69]. They constructed complex valued solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation that remain bounded in  $L^2$  but blow up in finite time. The key idea in all these approaches is that due to the structure of the nonlinearity in Fourier space the mass is transported faster to higher Fourier modes than it is absorbed by dissipation. Thus leading to a blow up of regularity, when a non-trivial portion of the mass reaches infinity in finite time. In Sect. 7 we present a simplified result for our equation, proving that many complex-valued solutions blow up in finite time. One might claim that this is not surprising, as the standard  $L^2$ -energy estimate fails to be true for complex valued solutions. However the lower bound derived in order to establish the blow-up does not blow up in  $L^2$ , it diverges only in spaces of more regular functions.

The Randomly Forced Problem in the Physical Dimension Two When we consider the problem in dimension two, the regularity of initial conditions is not the only issue, as the weak regularity of the forcing starts playing a crucial role. Thus the difficulty due to the presence of noise is the lack of regularity of solutions. In dimension d = 1 this is not an issue, as we expect solutions of the stochastic PDE to be continuously differentiable with respect to space and continuous in time, but in d = 2 the solution fails to be that regular. As a consequence the square of the gradient  $|\nabla h|^2$  in the nonlinearity is not defined directly.

A general solution is to rely on the concept of *regularity structures* introduced by Martin Hairer [51], which was awarded the Fields medal recently, or the significantly simpler but less powerful approach of paraproducts and controlled distributions introduced by Gubinelli, Imkeller & Perkowski [48]. In order to highlight the key problems we present in Sect. 4 the results of [18], based on results in [29], that apply similar ideas to our equation. This is the simplest case of the approach of Hairer [50], or Gubinelli et al. Here we do need to give a meaning to the quadratic nonlinearity for Gaussian random variables that are not regular enough to define the nonlinearity directly. On the other hand the other terms appearing in the proof are regular enough so that there is no trouble in their definition.

#### 1.3 The Model

Let us give more details about the model under consideration. We study the following equation for the graph of a growing surface

$$\partial_t h = -\Delta^2 h - \Delta |\nabla h|^2 + \xi \tag{1}$$

subject to periodic boundary conditions on the domain  $[0, L]^d$  (i.e., *h* and all its derivatives are *L*-periodic). The function  $h(t, \cdot)$  models a height profile at time t > 0, so d = 1 and d = 2 are the physically relevant dimensions. The noise  $\xi$  models always space-time white noise, for instance due to fluctuations in the incoming gas of particles. We comment later in more detail on the mathematical model of the noise, which is given by the generalized derivative of a suitable Wiener process in Hilbert-space.

The equation is simplified here in the sense that lower order terms are left out, which do not present any obstacle in the theory of local existence and uniqueness, although some might cause problems for global estimates in the proof of global existence. We will discuss on possible additional terms in more detail in the next section.

Also for simplicity of presentation we consider only the rescaled version with nondimensional length-scales. We can rescale the variables t, x, and h in order to move all relevant physical constants into the length of the domain L.

*Remark 1* (Mass Conservation) Due to the fact that the integral over a Laplacian vanishes for periodic boundary conditions, the deterministic equation conserves the total mass. Thus we always assume a moving frame condition

$$\int_{[0,L]^d} h(t,x) dx = 0.$$

To be more precise, the mean of h for solutions of (1) decouples from the equation and the spatial average of the solution is just the spatial average of the noise, which behaves like a Brownian motion. Thus for simplicity we always consider a moving frame and mass-conservative noise by just subtracting the spatial mean of the noise in (1). Moreover, by a similar transformation one removes any constant growth rate of the surface from the equation. *Remark 2* (Boundary Conditions) Although the surface is in experiments not periodic, these boundary conditions together with the assumption of a moving frame became the standard conditions in the physics literature for models of this type, as the effect of boundary condition is usually neglected in experiments. The surface is grown on large domains, and only small interior regions of almost periodic pattern are then measured. Sometimes the model has been considered also on the whole real line without decay condition at infinity, nevertheless we do not examine this case here in detail, as space-time white noise would make the solution at any time unbounded for  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

From a mathematical point of view Neumann boundary conditions are quite similar for the problem (1) studied here, as they allow for similar energy estimates.

#### 1.4 Related Models

In our presentation we ignore lower order terms, as we are after possible uniqueness or non-uniqueness. The main terms for questions about existence and regularity are the dominant fourth order linear operator  $\Delta^2 h$  and the quadratic non-linearity  $\Delta |\nabla h|^2$ .

Examples of lower order terms present in the models are the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky term  $|\nabla h|^2$ , which seems to be responsible for a saturation of hill sizes during coarsening, or a linear instability given by  $-\Delta h$ , which triggers an initial instability of a flat surface leading the formation of hills. Both lower order terms are quite important for the dynamical behavior, but not for questions regarding regularity and blow up. Moreover, the presence of these terms complicates calculations significantly (see [12] for an example).

In order to take into account  $-\Delta h$  in bounds uniform in time that yield global existence in d = 1, gauge functions have to be used, as in Blömker & Hairer [13]. See also Collet et al. [26], or Temam [110]. These yield estimates relative to a fixed surface (the gauge function) and its translations. But this is quite technical and up to now in our model it is only applied to Neumann boundary conditions. For periodic boundary conditions this question is still open.

There is also the choice of adding an additional cubic term  $\nabla \cdot (|\nabla h|^2 \nabla h)$  that does not change the scaling properties of the equation and that, equipped with the right sign, gives additional  $L^4([0, T], W^{1,4})$  regularity in a-priori estimates and even simplifies the proof of existence and uniqueness of global solutions. See for example Agélas [2].

An interesting nonlinearity that obeys the same symmetries as the terms present in (1) is det(Hess(h)). This nonlinearity allows for a gradient flow structure for the equation [35, 36]

$$\partial_t h = -\Delta h - \det(\operatorname{Hess}(h))$$

with energy of the type  $\int \Delta h |\nabla h|^2 dx$ , which is not bounded from below. Here Escudero, Gazzola & Peral [35] showed that this nonlinearity alone leads to a blow up in finite time. Thus the conjecture is that adding additive noise will eventually always lead to a blow up, see [94] for related ideas. The combination of both nonlinearities destroys the gradient structure and nothing is known about the dynamics.

Let us briefly comment on some well studied related models:

1. The Kadar-Parisi-Zhang (KPZ) equation is [59]

$$\partial_t h = \Delta h + |\nabla h|^2 + \xi$$

For the deterministic equation, there is no problem with existence and uniqueness and via the Cole-Hopf transform  $u = e^h$  one can transform it to a linear heat equation. The stochastic equation is the toy-model for the theory of regularity structures [50, 51], as already in d = 1 solutions are not regular enough to define the nonlinearity in a trivial way. Here a renormalized nonlinearity has to be taken into account that takes care of divergent constants. This is different from the nonlinearity in (1), as here the infinite constant of the renormalization is eliminated by the additional Laplacian.

2. The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation is

$$\partial_t h = -\Delta^2 h - \Delta h + |\nabla h|^2 + \xi.$$

The deterministic equation (as well as the stochastic equation) for d = 1 has no problem with local and global existence and uniqueness although the dynamics seems to be much richer than for (1). But for d = 2 there is a lack of global estimates that would ensure global existence. Moreover, for the stochastic equation for d = 2 the noise is too rough, and one needs to consider regularity structures and a renormalized nonlinearity, in order to define the equation.

3. Of course also the *Navier-Stokes* equation has many similarities, and many methods that work for the other equations were originally developed for Navier-Stokes. But as the type of equation is different, we do not give it in full details here.

#### 1.5 A-priori Estimates (1D-Deterministic)

As already pointed out, for global existence we heavily rely on the availability of an energy estimate. The key point for d = 1 is a suitable cancellation of the non-linearity, namely using integration by parts

$$\int_0^L h \,\partial_x^2 (\partial_x h)^2 \,dx = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^L \partial_x (\partial_x h)^3 \,dx = 0. \tag{2}$$

This is the main (and probably only) ingredient to derive useful a-priori estimates. Unfortunately, it already fails for d = 2. Formally multiplying the deterministic equation by h and integrating with respect to x, we obtain using (2),

$$\partial_t \|h(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\|\partial_x^2 h(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le 0$$
 and  $\|h(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\int_0^t \|\partial_x^2 h(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le \|h(0)\|_{L^2}^2.$ 
(3)

Thus, by Poincaré inequality and Gronwall's Lemma,

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^2} \le e^{-ct} \|h(0)\|_{L^2}^2$$
 and  $\int_0^\infty \|h(t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt \le \|h(0)\|_{L^2}^2.$ 

As explained before this estimate is only valid for smooth local solutions up to a possible blow up, or one could use a spectral Galerkin approximation to verify it for global solutions. Note that the regularity implied by this estimate is enough for proving existence of solutions (see Sect. 5), but is lower than the critical regularity, that is, the minimal amount of regularity that would ensure uniqueness (see Sect. 3). In other words, the above regularity properties are super-critical with respect to the scaling invariance of the equation.

These estimates extend to the stochastic equation by a standard transformation. See Sect. 2.4 below for details. Let us remark that for the stochastic case it is even possible to bound exponential moments of the  $L^2$ -norm. See [37, 102].

#### 1.6 A Lyapunov-Type Functional

There is another a-priori estimate for smooth local solutions, which was helpful for d = 2, but unfortunately it does not hold for spectral Galerkin method or any other approximation using projections,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} dx = \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_x h_{xxx} dx + 2 \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_x^2 h_{xx} dx$$
$$= -\int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_{xx}^2 dx + (2 - \alpha) \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_x^2 h_{xx} dx$$
$$= -\int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_{xx}^2 dx - \frac{1}{3} (2 - \alpha) \alpha \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_x^4 dx$$

Thus, for  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,

$$\int_0^L e^{\alpha h(t)} dx \le \int_0^L e^{\alpha h(0)} dx \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

and

$$\frac{(2-\alpha)}{3}\alpha^3 \int_0^\infty \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_x^4 \, dx \, dt + \alpha^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^L e^{\alpha h} h_{xx}^2 \, dx \, dt \le \int_0^L e^{\alpha h(0)} \, dx$$

With some more effort (see Stein & Winkler [103]) one can see that these terms are bounded independently of h(0) for large t.

The positive part  $h^+ = \max\{0, h\}$  now has much more regularity than the negative part  $h^- = \max\{0, -h\}$ , so a possible blow up seems to be more likely to  $-\infty$  than to  $+\infty$ . Unfortunately, this regularity is still not sufficient for uniqueness of solutions. It is just below the critical  $B^{0,\infty}_{\infty}$ -regularity. See Sect. 3.

Nevertheless, this estimate was the key in [115] to prove the existence of global solutions in dimension d = 2 using a discretization in time as the approximation method.

#### 1.7 Notation

Let us finish the introduction by introducing some notation and properties used throughout the paper. We denote by  $\Delta_{\text{per}}$  the Laplacian subject to periodic boundary

conditions, and by  $A = -\Delta_{\text{per}}^2$  the biharmonic operator. Its analytic semigroup is denoted by  $S(t) = e^{tA}$  for  $t \ge 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}^{\infty}$  be the space of infinitely differentiable *L*-periodic functions on **R** with zero mean in  $[0, L]^d$ . Likewise denote by  $C_{\text{per}}^k$  the space of *L*-periodic functions with zero mean that are *k*-times continuously differentiable. Define for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ 

$$\mathcal{L}^{p} = \left\{ h \in L^{p}([0, L]^{d}) : \int_{[0, L]^{d}} h(x) \, dx = 0 \right\}$$

with the standard  $L^p$ -norm. Here  $\mathcal{L}^2$  is a Hilbert-space with norm and scalar product

$$||f||_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 = \int_{[0,L]^d} |f(x)|^2 dx$$
 and  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} = \int_{[0,L]^d} f(x)g(x) dx$ 

Let  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbf{N}^d_{\star}}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L}^2$  given by products of the trigonometric functions  $\sin(2m_i\pi x_i/L)$  and  $\cos(2m_i\pi x_i/L)$  with  $m \in \mathbf{N}^d_{\star} = \mathbf{N}^d \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $\lambda_k$  be the eigenvalues of A such that

$$Ae_k = \lambda_k e_k.$$

Notice that  $\lambda_k \sim -|k|^4$ . With a slight abuse of notation, we also denote the complex basis by  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\star}}$ , which is given by the normalized  $e^{i2\pi k \cdot x/L}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\star} = \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ .

The Sobolev spaces  $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  are defined as the domains of fractional powers of -A, which are equivalent to standard Sobolev spaces (up to the zero-mean constraint). See for example Henry [55], Pazy [80], or Lunardi [72]. Here we use the explicit expansions of norms in term of Fourier series

$$\mathcal{H}^{\gamma} = \left\{ u = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}^{d}_{\star}} \alpha_{k} e_{k} : \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}}^{2} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}^{d}_{\star}} \alpha_{k}^{2} |\lambda_{k}|^{\gamma/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

This is equivalent to saying that  $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}$  consist of all functions *h* in  $H_{loc}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  which are *L*-periodic and satisfy  $\int_{[0,L]^d} h(x) dx = 0$ . Furthermore, the standard  $H^{\gamma}([0,L]^d)$ -norm is an equivalent norm on  $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}$ . We also use the space

$$\mathcal{W}^{1,4} := \{ u \in \mathcal{H}^1 : \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,4}} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^4} + \|\nabla u\|_{\mathcal{L}^4} < \infty \}.$$

Note that all usual Sobolev embeddings, such as for d = 1 for example  $\mathcal{H}^1 \subset C^0([0, L])$  or  $\mathcal{H}^2 \subset \mathcal{W}^{1,4}$  still hold. See [96] or [1] for details on Sobolev spaces.

The nonlinearity is throughout the paper denoted by

$$B(u, v) = \Delta(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v).$$

This is a bilinear map, which is defined for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{H}^1$  with values in a  $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma$  sufficiently negative. We have the following bounds for d = 1, 2:

**Lemma 1** If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma \ge 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \ge d/2$  (with strict inequality if at least one of the numbers is equal to d/2), then B maps  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha} \times \mathcal{H}^{1+\beta}$  continuously into  $\mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma}$ .

In particular, there exists  $c = c(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  such that

$$\|B(u_1, u_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma}} \leq c \|u_1\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\alpha}} \|u_2\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\beta}}.$$

*Proof* Explicit calculation using Fourier series or abstract  $\mathcal{H}^{\delta}$  bounds on products of  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{\beta}$  in the space  $\mathcal{H}^{-\gamma}$  [96]. For d = 2 see [18, Lemma A.1] for d = 1 see [19].

The following Lemma is necessary for d = 2 when one argument in B fails to have a gradient.

**Lemma 2** Fix dimension d = 2. Let  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma > 0$ . For every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and q > 2 such that  $1 - \alpha + \frac{2}{a} < \epsilon \land \gamma$ , there is a constant c > 0 such that

 $\|B(u_1,u_2)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma}} \leq c \|u_1\|_{W^{\alpha,q}} \|u_2\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon}}, \quad for \ every \ u_1 \in W^{\alpha,q} \ and \ u_2 \in \mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon}.$ 

*Proof* Straightforward. See [18, Corollary A.3] or Sobolev space bounds for products of distributions and regular functions in [96].  $\Box$ 

Note that the conditions on  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ , and  $\epsilon$  in the Lemma above are sufficient for the results presented here, but could be relaxed by using the paracontrolled approach.

## 2 Brief Introduction to SPDE

Here we set up the general framework necessary to treat our main equation (1), and provide the definitions of the concept of mild and weak solutions as well as some regularity properties of linear SPDEs needed later. In order to be self-contained, we also present a brief introduction to SPDEs tailor-made for the needs of our model. For details on stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) we refer to [25, 31, 49, 70, 82, 111], the recent second edition of Da Prato & Zabczyk [32], or the review article [102].

In a formal way (using  $\xi = \partial_t W$  and multiplying by dt) we can rewrite (1) as an abstract stochastic evolution equation

$$dh = (Ah + B(h, h)) dt + dW,$$
(4)

where W is a suitable Q-Wiener process, which we define below. Moreover, we need to give a rigorous meaning to the formal equation (4).

Let us remark that for stochastic PDEs on unbounded domains one can use the formulation of Walsh [113], although one has to consider that for space-time white noise both the stochastic convolution Z(t, x) and its derivative  $\nabla Z(t, x)$  (if it exists) are unbounded for  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . We avoid these technicalities here, by considering periodic boundary conditions only.

Let us first give the abstract definition of a Q-Wiener process W and later give an explicit representation in terms of Fourier series. Fix for the whole paper a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ .

**Definition 1** (Q-Wiener Process) Given a symmetric, non-negative, and continuous operator Q on H, we call a H-valued stochastic process  $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$  a Q-Wiener process, if

- W(0) = 0 ( $\mathbb{P}$ -almost sure).
- W has ( $\mathbb{P}$ -almost sure) continuous trajectories (or paths):

$$\begin{cases} [0,\infty) \to \mathcal{H} \\ t \mapsto W(t). \end{cases}$$

- The increments of W are stochastically independent, i.e. the random variables

$$W(t_1), W(t_2) - W(t_1), \dots, W(t_n) - W(t_n - 1)$$

are independent for any choice of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le \cdots \le t_n$ .

- The increments are Gaussian with  $W(t) - W(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (t-s)\mathcal{Q})$  for all  $0 \le s \le t$ .

Note that in case  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}$  the covariance operator  $\mathcal{Q} = 1$  is just a number, and the Wiener process is a real-valued *standard Brownian motion*. See [60, 76, 98] for properties.

In the definition above we used the notation  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  for a  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued random variable X and a non-negative symmetric operator  $\Sigma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , which means that for all test-functions  $\varphi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  the real-valued random variable  $\langle X, \varphi \rangle$  is Gaussian (i.e. normal) distributed with

expectation  $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \varphi \rangle = 0$  and variance  $\mathbb{E}\langle X, \varphi \rangle^2 = \langle \Sigma \varphi, \varphi \rangle$ .

Moreover, we have the covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\langle X, \varphi \rangle \langle X, \psi \rangle = \langle \Sigma \varphi, \psi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In the following we will always consider  $Q: L^2 \to L^2$  to be a bounded symmetric linear operator such that

$$\mathcal{Q}e_k = \alpha_k^2 e_k, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}^d_\star$$

so that Q is non-negative. This is sufficient to model all kinds of spatially homogeneous Gaussian noise  $\xi = \partial_t W$  such that formally

$$\mathbb{E}\xi(t,x) = 0$$
 and  $\mathbb{E}\xi(t,x)\xi(s,y) = \delta(t-s)q(x-y)$ 

where q is the spatial correlation function (or distribution). Now  $Q = q \star$ , which is the convolution operator with q. For details see [8], the references therein, and also [32, Sect. 4.15].

Note that mass-conservation is already built into the model of the noise by the choice of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Remark 3* A Wiener process is not uniquely defined. From the definition it is easy to check, that it exhibits self-similarity and shift invariance:

 $- \delta\{W(\delta^{-2}t)\}_{t \ge 0} \text{ is a } \mathcal{Q}\text{-Wiener process for all } \delta > 0.$ 

-  $\{W(t+s) - W(s)\}_{t \ge 0}$  is a Q-Wiener process for all s > 0.

We call an *I*-Wiener process a *standard cylindrical Wiener-process*. Obviously, this exists only in a generalized sense, as  $W(t) \notin \mathcal{H}$ . This follows from the fact that for any  $\mathcal{Q}$ -Wiener process a direct calculation shows  $\mathbb{E}||W(t)||^2 = \operatorname{trace}(\mathcal{Q})$ , together with Fernique's theorem [32, Sect. 2.21] stating that  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued Gaussian random variables have all and especially second moments.

For a cylindrical Wiener process, one can show from the definition that for any orthonormal basis  $\{f_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of smooth functions the random variables  $\langle W(\cdot), f_k \rangle$  are a family Brownian motions. Additionally, one can show that they are stochastically independent [32, Prop. 4.3]. Moreover,

$$\langle W(t), \varphi \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle W(t), f_k \rangle \langle \varphi, f_k \rangle$$

is a well defined real-valued Gaussian with mean 0 variance  $\|\varphi\|^2$  and covariance  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle$ .

*Example 1* For a sequence of independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) standard Brownian motions  $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , an orthonormal basis  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_k^2 < \infty$  define

$$W(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \alpha_k \beta_k(t) f_k.$$

Then W is a Q-Wiener process with  $Qe_k = \alpha_k^2 f_k$ .

Conversely, any Q-Wiener process can be written as a series expansion [32, Prop. 4.3], where  $f_k$  is an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of Q with corresponding eigenvalues  $\alpha_k$ . Moreover, if the  $\alpha_k$  are only bounded, we obtain a cylindrical Wiener process.

*Remark 4* One could assume in the definition that the Wiener-process is Hölder continuous in time for any exponent below  $\frac{1}{2}$ , but we do not need this here.

## 2.1 Regularity of the Stochastic Convolution

We consider a standard cylindrical Wiener process and expand it with respect to the eigenfunctions  $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d_{\star}}$ , taking into account the mass-conservation, i.e. for a family of i.i.d. standard Brownian motions  $\{\beta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}^d_{\star}}$  we have

$$W(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\star}^{d}} \beta_{k}(t) e_{k}.$$
(5)

Define the corresponding Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process for t > 0 as the following Itōintegral:

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\lambda_k} d\beta_k(t) e_k.$$
 (6)

This can be defined path-wise without Itō-calculus using the integration by parts formula:

$$Z(t) = W(t) + A \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} W(s) ds.$$

Note that Z solves formally

$$\partial_t Z + \Delta^2 Z = \partial_t W$$
 with  $Z(0) = 0$ .

Let us first show that Z is not too regular in space:

**Lemma 3** For every t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\|Z(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2-d/2}}^2\big] = \infty$$

and  $Z \notin \mathcal{H}^{2-d/2}$  for all times, almost surely.

Proof Using the explicit representation of Z in Fourier series and Itō-isometry, yields

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\|Z(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{2-d/2}}^2\Big] = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\star}^d} |k|^{4-d} \int_0^t e^{2\lambda_k(t-s)} \, ds \le C \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\star}^d} \frac{1}{2|k|^d} \big(1 - e^{2\lambda_k t}\big) = \infty.$$

The almost sure statement follows from Gaussianity. See for example [20, Theorem 2.5.5]. The key point is due to Fernique's theorem: The probability of Z being in a given Banach space is positive if and only if Z has some exponential moments in this space.  $\Box$ 

Lemma 3 above shows for example that for d = 2 the gradient of Z is not defined as a function. On the other hand, Z has a "fractional" derivative of all orders smaller than 2 - d/2 not only in  $L^2$  but also in all  $L^p$ .

**Proposition 1** For every  $p \ge 1$  and  $s \in (0, 2 - d/2)$ ,

$$\sup_{t>0}\mathbb{E}\big[\|Z(t)\|_{W^{s,p}}^p\big]<\infty.$$

*Proof* We rely on the explicit representation of the norm [96, Sect. 2.2.4 & 2.4.4] for  $s \in (0, 1)$ . For d = 1 and  $s \in (1, \frac{3}{2})$  we need to consider the regularity of  $\partial_x Z(t)$  in  $W^{s, p}$ .

$$\|z\|_{W^{s,p}}^{p} = \|z\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p}}^{p} + \int_{[0,L]^{d}} \int_{[0,L]^{d}} \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{d + sp}} dx \, dy.$$

Use the Fourier representation of Z with  $Z_k = \langle Z, e_k \rangle$  to get:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|Z(t,x) - Z(t,y)|^2\right] \le c \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\star}^d} \mathbb{E}\left[|Z_k(t)|^2\right] |e_k(x) - e_k(y)|^2$$
$$\le c \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\star}^d} \frac{1 \wedge |k \cdot (x-y)|^2}{|k|^4}$$

Now for any  $\gamma \in (s, \min\{1, 2 - d/2\})$  we find a constant such that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Z(t,x) - Z(t,y)|^2] \le c \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}^d_{\star}} |k|^{2\gamma - 4} |x - y|^{2\gamma} \le C |x - y|^{2\gamma}.$$

By Gaussianity, for every  $p \ge 1$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[|Z(t, x) - Z(t, y)|^p] \le c_p |x - y|^{p\gamma}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\iint \frac{|Z(t,x) - Z(t,y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2+sp}} dx dy\right] \le C \iint |x - y|^{-d + p(y-s)} dx dy < \infty.$$

The missing cases and the  $\mathcal{L}^p$ -norm are bounded in a similar way.

*Remark 5* (Continuity in Time) The regularity in time from the previous proposition is only  $L^p$ , but we can improve this, with standard arguments, to  $L^{\infty}$  (using the factorization method) or even Hölder continuity (using also fractional norms in time). For details we refer to the methods introduced in [31], see for example [28].

# 2.2 Mild Formulation

As solutions of SPDEs are usually not regular enough to give a meaning to (4), the solution is interpreted in some weaker sense. One possibility is the mild formulation given by the variation of constants formula:

**Definition 2** (Mild Solution) We call a continuous stochastic process  $h \in C^0([0, \tau^*), \mathcal{H}^{\zeta})$  for some almost sure positive stopping time  $\tau^* > 0$  a *mild solution* of (4) with initial condition  $h_0$  if

$$h(t) = e^{tA} h_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B(h(s), h(s)) ds + Z(t)$$

for all  $t \in (0, \tau^*)$   $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely.

We call a mild solution (strongly) unique, if for a given  $h_0$  and fixed process Z any two mild solutions coincide up to the minimum of their stopping times.

Now the main problem in this setting is to determine the regularity of Z, which can be read in terms of the covariance of the driving noise. Once we know this, we can solve the equation using Banach's fixed point argument.

Clearly mild solutions can be defined on different spaces than  $C^0([0, \tau^*), \mathcal{H}^{\zeta})$ , especially with weaker regularity at t = 0. The definition given above can be easily modified for these different settings without serious difficulties, so we will not provide further details and will keep using the notion of "mild solution".

#### 2.3 Weak Formulation

Another concept of solutions is the weak formulation, where (4) is integrated against smooth functions. Recall first the following result, an easy consequence of the Poincaré inequality, which ensures that all integrals in (7) are well defined.

**Lemma 4** If u is a distribution on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that its gradient  $\nabla u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2)$ , then  $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2)$  and thus  $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, \infty), \mathcal{H}^1)$ .

A weak solution for (1) with initial condition  $h_0 \in \mathcal{L}^1$  is any distribution h with locally square integrable gradient  $\nabla h \in L^2_{loc}([0, \infty), \mathcal{L}^2)$  such that for every smooth function  $\phi$ , which is compactly supported in time and *L*-periodic in space, i.e.  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}([0, \infty), \mathcal{D}^{\infty})$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,L]^{d}} h(t,x)\partial_{t}\phi(t,x) \, dx \, dt$$
  
$$-\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,L]^{d}} \left[ h(t,x)\Delta^{2}\phi(t,x) + |\nabla h(t,x)|^{2}\Delta\phi(t,x) \right] dx \, dt$$
  
$$= -\int_{[0,L]^{d}} h_{0}(x)\phi(0,x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{[0,L]^{d}} W(t,x)\partial_{t}\phi \, dx \, dt.$$
(7)

Lemma 4 ensures that all terms in the formula above are well defined. Moreover, the solution is only defined up to constants.

There are many other definitions of a weak solution imposing additional regularity or using test-functions only in space as in the following Proposition.

**Proposition 2** (Mild Implies Weak) Assume Z is well defined in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let h be a mild solution in  $\mathcal{H}$  as in Definition 2 with  $\langle B(u, u), \varphi \rangle \in L^1([0, \tau^*])$  for all  $\varphi \in D(A)$ , then u is a weak solution. This means that for any  $\varphi \in D(A)$  we have

$$\langle h(t) - h_0 - W(t), \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^t \langle h(s), A\varphi \rangle + \langle B(h(s), h(s)), \varphi \rangle ds.$$

*Idea of Proof* The key idea of proof is to note that  $\langle e^{tA} u, A\varphi \rangle = \partial_t \langle e^{tA} u, \varphi \rangle$ . Then one needs to check that due to the additional regularity assumption all terms are well-defined and one can integrate by parts.

We remark, that the mild formulation in Proposition 2 is not the same as in (7), but one can derive (7) by considering first elementary step functions with values in  $C_{\text{Der}}^{\infty}([0, L]^d)$  and then approximate  $\phi$ .

# 2.4 Transformation to a Random PDE

Indeed, using the standard method of looking at the difference v = h - Z between the solution and the stochastic convolution, the stochastic PDE can be transformed into a random PDE.

$$\partial_t v = Av + B(v, v) + 2B(v, Z) + B(Z, Z).$$
 (8)

If the stochastic convolution Z is sufficiently regular, then for each instance of chance the path-wise solvability for the SPDE is completely analogous to the deterministic results and one only needs to consider the two additional lower order terms B(v, Z)and B(Z, Z).

This covers many examples like our equation with d = 1, but for the surface growth equation in d = 2 the OU-process Z is no longer regular enough to define B(Z, Z). Moreover, we need more regularity of v, in order to define the product of  $\nabla v$  with the distribution  $\nabla Z$  in B(Z, Z). See Sect. 4.

# **3** Local Uniqueness

In this section, we rely on the transformation presented in the previous Sect. 2.4. Thus by working path-wise for each possible realization of the stochastic convolution, we can rely on purely deterministic arguments to verify the local existence and uniqueness of solutions. Nevertheless, at the end of this Sect. 3 we demonstrate in Sect. 3.7 how all the deterministic ideas can be used to solve the stochastic equation (1).

Following the technique introduced in the remarkable paper by Koch & Tataru [62] on the Navier–Stokes equations, we review the results of [17] on local existence and uniqueness of solutions with initial data in the largest possible critical space where weak solutions make sense. This space contains all previous spaces where analogous results were proved.

In contrast to using relatively simple Hilbert spaces as in other sections of this paper, we need to use Besov spaces here, which makes this section slightly less introductory. Let us remark that the same method has been applied to other fourth order problems [63, 114].

Our main motivation to study (1) in the largest possible space actually comes from the stochastically perturbed equation, where, due to noise, solutions are not regular enough, and we intend to use as least regularity as possible. However, for dimension d = 2 this approach does not work. We comment later in Sect. 4 on this. In view of the transformation of Sect. 2.4 we consider first only the deterministic equation, and add sufficiently smooth noise later. Thus consider

$$\partial_t h = Ah + B(h, h), \quad h(0) = h_0. \tag{9}$$

At least for dimension  $d \le 3$  the largest critical space of initial conditions introduced by Koch & Tataru is in our setting equivalent to the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{0,\infty}$ . This space is much simpler to work with, and it simplifies the results on existence and uniqueness. Moreover, we see in Sect. 3.6 that all such solutions are smooth in the space and time variable for t > 0.

*Remark 6* (Smooth Initial Conditions) For regular initial conditions, for example in  $\mathcal{H}^1$  for d = 1, standard arguments using a fixed point theorem in  $C^0([0, T], \mathcal{H}^1)$ 

assure uniqueness of local solutions. Moreover, one can continue the solution in  $\mathcal{H}^1$  until the norm blows up in that space. One can also verify that small initial conditions lead to the global existence of a small smooth solution for all times. Here we can go below that regularity for uniqueness of solutions. But we are still far from being able to prove uniqueness of global solutions in the general case, as they are bounded for d = 1 only in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ .

Let us remark that global existence and uniqueness for small initial conditions was also established in [88] for multiplicative noise, at least with high probability.

#### 3.1 Notion of Critical Spaces

An account on the scaling heuristic for the Navier-Stokes equations can be found for example in Cannone [22], such arguments are the basis of the celebrated result on partial regularity of Caffarelli, Kohn, & Nirenberg [21].

The rationale behind the method is the following. First, notice that (9) is on the whole  $\mathbf{R}^d$  invariant under the scaling transformation

$$h(t, x) \longrightarrow h_{\lambda}(t, x) = h(\lambda^4 t, \lambda x).$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

If X is a functional space for h (for example  $L^{\infty}(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ ) or time-independent like  $H^1(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ), we can consider how the norm of X scales with respect to the transformation (10) above. If

$$\|h_{\lambda}\|_{X} = \lambda^{-\alpha} \|h\|_{X},$$

then we can distinguish the three cases:

$$\alpha < 0$$
, sub-critical  $\alpha = 0$ , critical  $\alpha > 0$ , super-critical

The super-critical case corresponds to small-scales behavior and is related to low regularity, typically to topologies where possibly existence of weak solutions can be proved, but no regularity or uniqueness. In the super-critical case we can think of the nonlinearity of the problem as a non-negligible perturbation of the linear equation.

In the sub-critical case with sufficiently high regularity, it is possible to give good bounds on the nonlinearity and thus proceed with a fixed-point argument yielding uniqueness and existence at least locally. This is due to the fact that in this regime the nonlinearity of the problem is a perturbation of order smaller than the order of the linear part.

Due to scaling we can decrease the size of the initial conditions in the sub-critical case, thus we can rely on a result of the type: Small data implies global existence. Hence, the critical cases are the most interesting ones.

Consider spaces X (depending on the space variable) and  $Y_T$  (depending on both variables, with t up to T > 0), then in order to have a regularity criterion based on  $Y_T$ , the following statements must hold,

- 1. there is a unique local solution for every initial condition in X,
- 2. the unique local solution we have found is regular, and

3. the unique local solution can be continued up to time T, as long as its norm in  $Y_T$  stays bounded.

The above analysis has been extensively carried out in numerous papers for the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations (see for examples references in Cannone [22]). The first paper dealing with such aims were Prodi [83] and Serrin [99], see also Beale, Kato & Majda [7].

We want to point out though that the scaling heuristic is an oversimplification where we look at the nonlinearity of the problem only in terms of its size. Tao [108] for instance considers a large class of problems that "look like" the Navier–Stokes equations in terms of their scaling and their continuity properties, and that develop singularities in finite time. On the other hand Barbato et al. [4] show global existence and uniqueness in the super-critical regime for the viscous dyadic Navier Stokes model. We finally notice, see [5, 6, 107], that at the critical level one can still allow for a super-critical logarithmic correction.

## 3.2 Setting

As outlined in the previous section, the interesting norms are the critical ones that do not change under rescaling. Thus we consider our periodic solutions extended to  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and use the scaling invariant version (under (10)) of the  $L^2_{loc}$ -space for the gradient  $\nabla h$ , defined by the following norm:

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{X}^0} := \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^d, R > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{R^{d+2}} \int_0^{R^4} \int_{B_R(x)} |\nabla h|^2 \, dy \, dt \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This definition follows the ideas of [62] and is the largest critical space in which weak solutions still are defined, as we need a locally square integrable gradient, in order to have the weak formulation in (7) defined. Our first aim is to state a simpler representation of the bi-caloric extension (see below) in this space.

Define therefore the linear space  $\mathcal{X}$  of functions such that

$$||k||_{\mathcal{X}} = \sup_{t>0} \left\{ t^{\frac{1}{4}} ||\nabla k(t)||_{\infty} \right\} < \infty.$$

A local in time version of this space can be defined for any R > 0 by

$$||k||_{\mathcal{X}_{R}} := \sup_{t \le R^{4}} \left( t^{\frac{1}{4}} ||\nabla k(t)||_{\infty} \right).$$

Note that we always identify functions that differ only by a constant. This is motivated by the fact that the equation is mass-conservative.

For an *L*-periodic function, we denote  $e^{tA} k$  as the *bi-caloric* extension of *k*. Note that the semigroup extended on the whole  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is also given by the convolution with the Greens function G(t, x), which has the Fourier transform (with respect to the space variable)  $\widehat{G}(t, \xi) = e^{t|\xi|^4}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the homogeneous Besov space  $\dot{B}^{0,\infty}_{\infty}$ , where the dot denotes mass conservation. We use an equivalent norm on  $\mathcal{B}$  given by the bi-caloric extension

$$||k||_{\mathcal{B}} := ||e^{tA}k||_{\mathcal{X}} = \sup_{t>0} \{ t^{\frac{1}{4}} ||\nabla e^{tA}k(t)||_{\infty} \}.$$

We define similarly local versions  $\mathcal{B}_R$ . See for instance [66] for a characterization of Besov spaces in terms of heat kernels. Here we use the bi-Laplace operator A, which changes the scaling. As the operator is fourth order, in the definition of  $\mathcal{B}$  one would expect from parabolic regularization that the derivative and the  $t^{\frac{1}{4}}$  annihilate each other.

The following proposition shows that the space  $\mathcal{B}$  is actually equivalent to the caloric extension in the scale-invariant space  $\mathcal{X}^0$ . We discuss further below, what kind of functions are in these spaces.

**Proposition 3** (Proposition 2.2. of [17]) Assume  $d \le 3$ . Then  $e^{tA} k \in \mathcal{X}^0$  if and only if  $k \in \mathcal{B}$  and the norm in  $\mathcal{B}$  is equivalent to the norm defined by the bi-caloric extension in  $\mathcal{X}^0$ .

This means, that there are constants  $c_1$ ,  $c_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 \|k\|_{\mathcal{B}} \le \|e^{tA} k\|_{\mathcal{X}^0} \le c_2 \|k\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$
(11)

*Idea of Proof* The key point is to prove (11). The inequality on the right holds in any dimension  $d \ge 1$  since it is straightforward to check that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}^0}$  is bounded by  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ . For the inequality on the left, one can use the explicit representation of the semigroup in terms of the Greens function. Moreover, by scaling and translations invariance, it is sufficient to show a bound on  $t^{\frac{1}{4}}(\nabla e^{tA}k)(x)$  for t = 1 and x = 0, only. This is then technically quite involved. For details see [17, p. 369].

## 3.3 Examples

The Besov space  $\mathcal{B}$  is well understood, it contains for example the space *BMO* of functions of bounded mean oscillations. In view of the fixed point argument of Theorem 1 we wish to recall the discussion of [17], that is for which initial conditions it is possible to find R > 0 such that the initial condition is small in the  $\mathcal{B}_R$  norm. For this aim define

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{k : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R} : ||k||_{\mathcal{B}_R} \to 0 \text{ as } R \downarrow 0\}.$$

Functions in  $\mathcal{Z}$  correspond to initial conditions where it is possible to solve (9) locally in  $\mathcal{B}_R$ . In this section we give a few examples of subspaces of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then we show that bounded functions in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ , although contained in  $\mathcal{B}$ , are not contained in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Hence the method of proof for local uniqueness presented here fails for initial conditions in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  with large norm.

#### Lemma 5 The following statements hold,

- *if*  $k : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$  *is bounded and uniformly continuous, then*  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, i.e. the periodic extension of the space*  $\mathcal{D}^{\infty}$  *is in*  $\mathbb{Z}$ *,* 

- if  $k : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$  has bounded gradient on  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , then  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , - the periodic extension of  $\mathcal{H}^{d/2}$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The proof of this Lemma is established by direct calculations using the representation of the norms and the Greens function. See Lemma 3.1 of [17] for more details.

In the following we only give an example on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , but it is easy to extend this to the periodic case. Since the Green's function tensorizes, it is enough to find examples for d = 1.

*Example* 2 There are functions in  $L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^d)$  not belonging to  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Define  $k(x) = \mathbb{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$ , then using the Green's function one can show  $||k||_{\mathcal{B}_R} \ge G(1,0) > 0$ .

*Example 3* The space  $\mathcal{Z}$  contains unbounded functions, which are not in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Define for  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $k_{\alpha}(x) = |x|^{\alpha}$ . Now one can show  $||t^{\frac{1}{4}}\partial_{x}(e^{tA}k_{\alpha})||_{\infty} = t^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}||\partial_{x}(e^{A}k_{\alpha})||_{\infty}$ . Hence,  $||k_{\alpha}||_{\mathcal{B}_{R}} \to 0$  for  $R \to 0$  but  $||k_{\alpha}||_{\mathcal{B}} = \infty$ .

*Example 4* Consider the case d = 1, then  $k(x) = \log(|x|)$  is (pointwise, away from the pole) a stationary solution for problem (9). See Remark 14 or [16] for more examples like the periodic  $\log(\sin(x))$ . Nevertheless, this function is neither a weak nor a mild solution, as  $\nabla k$  is not locally square summable.

Now it is possible to show that  $k \in \mathcal{B}$  but  $k \notin \mathcal{Z}$ , as  $||k||_{\mathcal{B}}$  is finite, but  $||k||_{\mathcal{B}_R}$  is independent of *R* and does not converge to 0. For this one needs to verify by using the properties of the Greens function that  $||t^{\frac{1}{4}}(\partial_x e^{tA}k)||_{\infty} = ||\partial_x e^A k||_{\infty}$ .

## 3.4 Remark on Non-uniqueness in Dimension 4

Example 4 becomes more interesting if one turns to higher dimension. Let  $k(x) = \alpha_d \log(|x|)$  for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  with  $\alpha_d \in \mathbf{R}$ . As in Example 4,  $k \in \mathcal{B}$ , but  $k \notin \mathcal{Z}$ . The function k is not a weak solution for d = 2 and all  $\alpha_d \neq 0$ . But as the weak derivative  $\nabla k \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$  in dimension  $d \ge 3$ , it is straightforward to check explicitly that both the weak formulation (7) and the mild formulation are satisfied with  $\alpha_d = 2 - d$ .

A special case is dimension d = 4, where k is a stationary weak solution for any  $\alpha_d \in \mathbf{R}$ . But in that case the  $\mathcal{B}$ -norm of k can be made arbitrarily small with  $\alpha_d \to 0$ , and thus by Theorem 1 and results in Sect. 3.6 below there is also a smooth mild solution starting at k.

In conclusion this example shows the non-uniqueness and lack of smoothness of mild solutions, at least in dimension d = 4. Nevertheless there is no contradiction with our Theorem 1 in the section below, since this states uniqueness in  $\mathcal{X}$  but  $k \notin \mathcal{X}$ , if considered as a function of time.

#### 3.5 The Fixed Point Argument

Define the map

$$\mathcal{V}(h,k)(t) = -\int_0^t \Delta e^{(t-s)A} \nabla h(s) \cdot \nabla k(s) \, ds = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B(h(s),k(s)) \, ds.$$

Here we use the slightly modified concept of a mild solution. The main difference is that in contrast to Definition 2, we do not assume continuity in t. This needs to be established in an additional step.

**Definition 3** We say that  $h \in \mathcal{X}$  solves (9) with initial condition  $h_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ , if for all t > 0

$$h(t) = e^{tA} h_0 + \mathcal{V}(h, h)(t).$$
(12)

We call  $h \in \mathcal{X}_R$  a local mild solution, if (12) holds only on  $t \in [0, \mathbb{R}^4]$  for some  $\mathbb{R} > 0$ .

The following lemma is crucial for the proof of uniqueness and existence. It verifies that the nonlinear part is locally Lipschitz continuous both in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_R$ .

**Lemma 6** The map  $\mathcal{V}$  is bi-linear and continuous from  $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{X}$  and from  $\mathcal{X}_R \times \mathcal{X}_R$  to  $\mathcal{X}_R$ , for all R > 0 such that

$$\|\mathcal{V}(h,k)\|_{\mathcal{X}} \le c_4 \|k\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|h\|_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ and } \|\mathcal{V}(h,k)\|_{\mathcal{X}_R} \le c_4 \|k\|_{\mathcal{X}_R} \|h\|_{\mathcal{X}_R}$$

*Idea of proof* The bilinearity is obvious by the bilinearity of *B*. For the boundedness one uses  $\|\nabla h \cdot \nabla k\|_{\infty} \le t^{-1/2} \|k\|_{\mathcal{X}} \|h\|_{\mathcal{X}}$  together with an  $L^{\infty}$  bound on  $\Delta e^{tA}$  given by an explicit calculation in terms of the Greens-function. For more details see [17, Lemma 4.2].

Using the previous lemma, we can now state and prove our main result [17, Theorem 4.3] on global existence and local existence of unique solutions. Recall that  $||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}_R} \to 0$  is true for  $R \to 0$  for all  $h_0 \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

**Theorem 1** Consider any  $d \ge 1$  and fix  $0 < \delta < 1/4c_4$ , with  $c_4$  from Lemma 6.

If  $||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \delta$ , then there exists a unique (global) solution in  $\mathcal{X}$  of (9).

Moreover, if  $||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}_R} \leq \delta$ , then there is a unique local solution in  $\mathcal{X}_R$  of (9) on  $[0, R^4]$ .

Finally, if  $h_0$  is periodic and satisfies one of the bounds above, then the solution is also periodic.

*Proof* The proof is based on a standard fixed point iteration argument. Choose K such that

$$1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_4\delta} \le 2c_4K < 1.$$

Define the iteration

$$H_0 = 0,$$
  $H_{n+1} = e^{tA} h_0 + \mathcal{V}(H_n, H_n),$ 

then  $||H_1||_{\mathcal{X}} = ||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}} \le \delta$ . Now by Lemma 6  $||H_{n+1}||_{\mathcal{X}} \le \delta + c_4 ||H_n||_{\mathcal{X}}^2$ . Thus by induction and the choice of  $\delta$  and K, it follows that  $||H_n||_{\mathcal{X}} \le K$  for all n. Then

$$\|H_{n+1} - H_n\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \|\mathcal{B}(H_n, H_n) - \mathcal{B}(H_{n-1}, H_{n-1})\|_{\mathcal{X}} \le 2c_4 K \|H_n - H_{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{X}}$$

and so  $(H_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $\mathcal{X}$  to a fixed point of (12).

The same proof works for local spaces, since all constants do not depend on R. The final statement of periodicity follows by translation invariance of (9) and uniqueness.

*Remark* 7 We remark without proof, that the solution of Theorem 1 is a mild solution in the sense of Definition 2 in the space  $\mathcal{B}$ . But the uniqueness is only verified in  $\mathcal{X}$  or  $\mathcal{X}_R$ .

#### 3.6 Remark on Smoothness of Solutions

In this section we state without proof the result that solutions granted by Theorem 1 are smooth in space and time for t > 0. One approach is to follow the same methods of [46] and use for  $m \ge 1$  the space

$$\mathcal{X}^m = \left\{ k : \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R} : \|k\|_{\mathcal{X}^m} := \max_{0 \le j \le m} \|k\|_{\mathcal{X},j} < \infty \right\},\$$

as well as the corresponding local version  $\mathcal{X}_{R}^{m}$ . Here the norm is

$$||k||_{\mathcal{X},m} := \sup_{t>0} \left\{ t^{\frac{m+1}{4}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} ||D^{\alpha}k||_{\infty} \right\},\$$

and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}_{R,m}}$  is the corresponding local version, with  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d)$ ,  $D^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \ldots \partial_{x_d}^{\alpha_d}$ , and  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$ .

In order to go to higher regularity we repeat inductively the fixed-point argument in the space  $\mathcal{X}^m$  or  $\mathcal{X}^m_R$  to obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 4** (Proposition 6.3 of [17]) *There exists*  $\delta > 0$  *such that if*  $||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}} < \delta$  (or  $||h_0||_{\mathcal{B}_R} < \delta$ ), then the solution to (9) granted by Theorem 1 is in  $\mathcal{X}^m$  (or  $\mathcal{X}^m_R$ ) for all  $m \ge 1$ .

An immediate corollary is the following result on smoothness in space. Smoothness in time then follows from the PDE by a standard bootstrapping argument.

**Corollary 1** Let h be a solution of (9) in  $\mathcal{X}_R$ , with  $0 < R \le \infty$ . Then  $h(t) \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $t \in (0, R)$ .

*Remark* 8 A more careful analysis would show that the solutions are analytic in space. We point out that Koch & Lamm [63] have a more elegant proof of analyticity (in a different context). An advantage of the method used here is to provide the behavior at t = 0 of solutions through the spaces  $\mathcal{X}^m$  and  $\mathcal{X}^m_R$ .

# 3.7 Local Existence for the Stochastic Problem

In this section we outline the basic idea of the proof of local existence for the stochastic PDE. Based on Sect. 2.4 we consider (8) instead of (9). The two additional terms are on one hand a linear term B(Z, v) and a forcing term B(Z, Z). Both are random due to the stochastic convolution Z, but for any fixed realization they are easily included in the deterministic fixed-point argument of Theorem 1, provided Z is sufficiently regular.

For instance, in the case of bounded intervals (i.e. d = 1) with periodic boundary conditions and space–time white noise, we have that the stochastic convolution Z and its derivative  $\partial_x Z$  are continuous in both space and time. Compare to Proposition 1 for the spatial regularity and Remark 5 for time regularity.

Thus we can conclude that almost surely  $||Z||_{\mathcal{X}_R} \to 0$  for  $R \to 0$ . Hence the equation can be uniquely solved in  $\mathcal{X}_R$ , for a random R > 0.

An interesting question appears in the case of periodic boundary conditions and d = 2, since for space-time white noise the convolution Z just fails to be differentiable in space (see Proposition 3), and thus all results of this section are not applicable. In the next section we give details how to attack this problem.

Let us finally remark that for d = 2 the stochastic convolution Z will be differentiable if we consider slightly more regular noise. In that case all the machinery of this section again applies.

#### 4 Rough Noise—Not Enough Regularity

In the previous section we discussed how far we can get with the transformation of (1) to a random PDE and using deterministic methods. In this section we address the problem of proving the existence of local solutions for the stochastic problem (1) in dimension d = 2 where the regularity of solutions is too weak for the non-linear term, in order to apply the deterministic tools of the previous section. Fix for the whole section for simplicity of presentation  $L = 2\pi$ .

We follow an approach similar to the one used in [29] for a similar singular twodimensional problem with space-time white noise. Recently, there is a high interest in the analysis of non-linear PDEs that, like the one presented here, are forced by rough noise so that the non-linear term in principle is not well-defined. The meaning of the non-linearity is then recovered through probability. We refer to [50, 52]. Two recent papers [48, 51] have proposed general and powerful methods that would both apply to our equation (1) as well as to more difficult problems, where for instance the re-normalized infinite constant shows up in the equation. See also [45, 53, 116].

The method we use here, based on Fourier expansion, works very well for our problem (1) and we believe it is, at least for this problem, neat and simple. It illustrates already the key problems one is facing.

The idea is to decompose the solution in a rough part having the low regularity dictated by the forcing and a remainder, slightly smoother. The non-linearity for the rough term is then defined as the limit of cut-offs via spectral Galerkin methods, thanks to the underlying Gaussian nature of the processes involved. This, roughly speaking, corresponds to a re-normalization of the non-linearity, but here without any additional term, due to the fact that the Laplace in front of the gradient squared kills an infinite renormalization-constant, that would appear, as in KPZ [50], to compensate the divergence in the non-linearity.

The method works even for rougher noise at the price of a lower level of regularity. For even rougher noise the remainder fails to be regular enough, and then we need an additional term in the expansion of the solution, which would then lead to the method proposed in [48]. Here many terms are added in the expansion, and we discuss briefly on this in Sect. 4.4. Also the theory of regularity structures [51] uses a kind of Taylor expansion in terms of singular, noise dependent, terms and more regular parts, but this is on a level of greater generality.

#### 4.1 Setting and Main Result

Here we use again the standard transformation h = Z + v (cf. Sect. 2.4). We already saw that the stochastic convolution Z is continuous in space and time, but it fails to have a derivative.

Since it turns out that v is regular enough, the mixed product B(v, Z) is well defined (cf. Lemma 2), by standard Sobolev theory, and we do not need to define this using the paracontrolled theory of [48], which allows to define the product for less regular functions. In the abstract theory of regularity structures these products are always defined.

The problems here originate only from the "squared distribution"  $|\nabla Z|^2$  in B(Z, Z). Once this term is properly defined as a limit of spectral approximations, for instance, we can work out a fixed point argument similar to [43] (see also [16] or Sect. 3).

In conclusion a mild solution h of (1) is in this section a random process such that v = h - Z is a solution of

$$v(t) = S(t)h_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)B(v,v)\,ds + \int_0^t S(t-s)\big(\widetilde{B}(Z,Z) + 2B(Z,v)\big)\,ds,$$
(13)

where  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z)$  is the suitably modified product.

As in Sect. 3 we introduce time-weighted spaces, but now we use a Hilbert-space setting, in order to say more about norms of Fourier-series expansions of B(Z, Z), which is not that easy in the space  $\mathcal{X}$ . Similar arguments are also possible in a Besov-space setting, but for simplicity of presentation, we restrict ourselves to the Hilbert-space setting only.

Given  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and T > 0, define

$$||u||_{\epsilon,T} := \sup_{t \le T} t^{\frac{\epsilon}{4}} ||u(t)||_{H^{1+\epsilon}}$$

We will find a solution of (13) by means of a fixed point argument for suitable  $\rho$  and *T* in the space

$$\mathcal{X}(\epsilon, \rho, T) := \left\{ v \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{L}^2) : \|v\|_{\epsilon, T} \le \rho \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . There exist a stopping time  $\tau_{h_0}$  and a solution h of the mild formulation (cf. (13)) defined on  $[0, \tau_{h_0})$ , such that  $h \in C([0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{L}^2)$  and  $h - Z \in C((0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon})$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_{h_0} > 0] = 1$ .

We will not give a detailed proof of this theorem, as it is in principle very similar to the proof in Sect. 3.5). Again the additional terms do not cause problems, provided they are sufficiently regular. For details see [18, Theorem 2.10]. For the mixed term we use Lemma 2 and the square of the Gaussian is defined in the next section.

Note that solutions are unique up to the minimum of both their stopping times in the space  $\mathcal{X}(\epsilon, \rho, T)$ . Moreover, by standard methods one can continue uniquely the solution as continuous  $\mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon}$ -valued solutions, until they blow up.

*Remark* 9 With some effort one can show that v is as regular as  $\int_0^t S(t - s)\widetilde{B}(Z, Z) ds$ . Here it is sufficiently regular to proceed with the fixed-point argument. If this is not the case, then additional expansions will be necessary (see Sect. 4.4).

If this integral fails to be more regular than Z alone, which might be the case for very rough noise or higher dimension, then there seems to be no way this method or any other like [48] or even regularity structures will work.

#### 4.2 Additional Regularity for Powers of Gaussian

Here we discuss the key point in all the theory, which is the definition of the nonlinearity evaluated at Gaussian random variables that are not regular enough. The stochastic convolution Z can be expanded in the complex Fourier basis (see (6)),

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\star}^2} z_k(t) e_k, \qquad z_k(t) = \int_0^t e^{-|k|^4 (t-s)} d\beta_k(s),$$

where  $\beta_k = \langle W(t), e_k \rangle$ ,  $\beta_{-k} = \overline{\beta}_k$ , and  $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+}$  is a sequence of independent complex-valued standard Brownian motions.

We saw in Lemma 3 that Z is not sufficiently regular to define the non-linear term. But it turns out that, suitably defined, the term B(Z, Z) does make sense.

If  $u = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} u_k e_k$  and  $v = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} v_k e_k$  are real valued, the non-linear term can be formally written in terms of the Fourier coefficients as

$$B(u, v) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\star}} |k|^2 \left( \sum_{m+n=k} m \cdot n \ u_m v_n \right) e_k.$$

Consider the stochastic convolution *Z* and set for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$J_k(t) = \sum_{m+n=k} m \cdot n \ z_m(t) z_n(t).$$
(14)

Formally,  $B(Z, Z) = \sum_{k} |k|^2 J_k e_k$ , but Lemma 3 immediately tells us that

$$J_0(t) = -\|\nabla Z(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \infty \quad \text{almost surely}$$

Likewise, we obtain, that the series in (14) is not absolutely convergent.

Following [29], we resum the terms  $J_k(t)$  in a suitable way, in order to rely on cancellations, based on the fact that the  $z_m$  are centered Gaussians. The term  $J_0(t)$  should at first sight be the most problematic, since there is no hope to exploit any cancellation. But it is constant in space and thus canceled by the Laplacian.

Given  $N \ge 1$ , let  $H_N$  be the linear sub-space of  $\mathcal{L}^2$  spanned by  $(e_k)_{0 < |k| \le N}$ . Let  $\pi_N$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{L}^2$  onto  $H_N$  and define

$$B_N(u, v) = B(\pi_N u, \pi_N v).$$

We define the operator  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z)$  as the limit of the sequence  $(B_N(Z, Z))_{N>1}$ .

**Lemma 7** The approximation  $(B_N(Z, Z))_{N\geq 1}$  is, for every  $\gamma > 0$ , a Cauchy sequence in the space  $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma})$ . Thus the limit  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z)$  is almost-surely well-defined as an element of  $\mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma}$ .

As the cancellation property used in this proof is essential for the whole theory, we repeat the proof of [18, Lemma 3.4].

*Proof* Let  $J_k^N$  be the term analogous to  $J_k$  for the projection  $\pi_N Z$ . If  $N \leq N'$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[|J_k^N(t) - J_k^{N'}(t)|^2\Big] = \sum_{m_1+n_1=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \sum_{m_2+n_2=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} m_1 \cdot n_1 \ m_2 \cdot n_2 \mathbb{E}[z_{m_1} z_{n_1} \bar{z}_{m_2} \bar{z}_{n_2}],$$

where by the symbol  $N \leftrightarrow N'$  in the sum over m, n we mean that the sum is extended only over those indices m, n that satisfy  $N < |m| \lor |n| \le N'$ .

The sequence  $(z_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+}$  is a family of independent centered Gaussian random variables. Moreover  $\overline{z}_m = z_{-m}$ . Using that odd moments of Gaussians are zero we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}[z_{m_1} z_{n_1} \bar{z}_{m_2} \bar{z}_{n_2}] \neq 0 \quad \begin{cases} \text{only if } m_1 = m_2 \text{ and } n_1 = n_2, \\ \text{or if } m_1 = n_2 \text{ and } m_2 = n_1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|J_k^N(t) - J_k^{N'}(t)|^2\right] = 2 \sum_{m+n=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} (m \cdot n)^2 \mathbb{E}\left[|z_m|^2 |z_n|^2\right]$$
  
$$\leq c \sum_{m+n=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \frac{(m \cdot n)^2}{|m|^4 |n|^4} \left(1 - e^{-2|m|^4 t}\right) \left(1 - e^{-2|n|^4 t}\right)$$
  
$$\leq c \sum_{m+n=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \frac{1}{|m|^2 |n|^2}.$$

The last series above can be estimated explicitly (see for example [19, Lemma 2.3] for details). Indeed since  $|m| \vee |n| \ge N$ ,

$$\sum_{m+n=k}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \frac{1}{|m|^2 |n|^2} \leq \frac{2}{N^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{m+n=k \\ |n| \leq |m|}}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \frac{1}{|m|^{2-\gamma} |n|^2} \leq \frac{2}{N^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{m+n=k \\ m+n=k}}^{N \leftrightarrow N'} \frac{1}{|m|^{2-\gamma} |n|^2} \leq \frac{c}{N^{\gamma} |k|^{2-\gamma}}.$$

In conclusion,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widetilde{B}_N(z,z) - \widetilde{B}_{N'}(z,z)\|_{H^{-2-\gamma}}^2\right] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_\star} |k|^{-2\gamma} \mathbb{E}\left[|J_k^N - J_k^{N'}|^2\right] \le \frac{c}{N^{\gamma}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_\star} \frac{1}{|k|^{2+\gamma}},$$

and the term on the right hand side converges to zero as  $N, N' \rightarrow \infty$ .

We shall need higher moments of  $\widetilde{B}(z, z)$  for our considerations on the non-linear problem. We shall derive the claim from hyper-contractivity of Gaussian measures [79, 101]. For Gaussian random variables it is well-known that all their moments are bounded by the second. By hyper-contractivity (Nelsons theorem) the same statements extends to polynomials of Gaussian random variables.

**Proposition 5** *Given*  $\gamma > 0$  *and* p > 1*,* 

$$\sup_{t>0} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\widetilde{B}\left(Z(t), Z(t)\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma}}^{p}\right] < \infty$$

From this result we obtain that  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z) \in L^p(0, T, \mathcal{H}^{-2-\gamma})$  for all p > 1. Higher regularity in time like continuity is possible, but not necessary for the fixed point argument.

*Proof* For the second moment we can proceed as in the previous lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[|J_k^N|^2\big] = \sum_{\substack{m+n=k\\|m|,|n|\leq N}} (m \cdot n)^2 \mathbb{E}\big[|z_m|^2|z_n|^2\big] \le c \sum_{m+n=k} \frac{1}{|m|^2|n|^2} \le \frac{c}{|k|^2} \log(1+|k|).$$

And thus

$$\mathbb{E} \Big[ \left\| \mathcal{B}_N \big( z(t), z(t) \big) \right\|_{H^{-2-\gamma}}^2 \Big] \le \sum_k |k|^{-2\gamma} \mathbb{E} \Big[ |J_k^N|^2 \Big] \le c \sum_k \frac{\log(1+|k|)}{|k|^{2+2\gamma}} < \infty.$$

To prove that all higher moments are finite, as outlined before we can rely on Theorem I.22 of [101]. We skip the proof here.  $\Box$ 

#### 4.3 Other Regularizations

Here we consider one regularizing method different from the spectral Galerkin method used to define  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z)$ . In principle all approximations may lead to a different renormalization  $\widetilde{B}$ , but it turns out that in numerous cases they are all the same,

 $\square$ 

and thus the solution of the renormalized problem (13) is always the same. For an abstract result of different regularizations see [18, Thm. 2.3 & 2.4].

Here we only give one example of such regularizations using a convolution operator. Consider a regularizing operator  $\Phi_N$  acting on the process Z, or equivalently on W, as the convolution commutes with the semigroup. In the previous section we discussed the case  $\Phi_N = \pi_N$  of spectral Galerkin projections.

*Example 5* (Convolution Operator) Given a non-negative smooth function q with support contained in a small neighborhood of the origin and such that  $\int q(x) dx = 1$ , let  $q_N$  be the  $2\pi$ -periodic extension on the flat torus  $[0, 2\pi]^2$  of  $z \mapsto q(Nz)$ . Define

$$\Phi_N f(x) = \int_{[0,2\pi]^2} N^2 q_N(x-y) f(y) \, dy$$

The operators  $\Phi_N$  are self-adjoint and diagonal in the Fourier basis. Denote by  $\phi_k^N$  the eigenvalues of  $\Phi_N$ . These are (up to constant) given by the Fourier coefficients of  $z \mapsto N^2 q(Nz)$ .

We need some decay condition, in order for  $\Phi_N$  to have a regularizing effect that is strong enough. Here is sufficient that  $|\phi_k^N| \leq |k|^{-\eta}$  for some  $\eta > 0$ , which is assured by  $q \in H^{\eta}$  for some  $\eta > 0$ .

In order to have the regularization disappear in the limit, we need  $\phi_k^N \to 1$  as  $N \to \infty$ . This can be checked using the fact that q is supported around 0,

$$q_k^N = N^2 \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^2} q_N(x) e_{-k}(x) dx$$
$$= \int_{[-\pi,\pi]^2} q(z) e_{-k/N}(z) dz \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } N \to \infty.$$

#### 4.4 Rougher Noise

As it is apparent by the previous sections, space–time white noise is the borderline case between the standard theory for mild solutions in Sect. 3.7 and the additional work presented in Theorem 2.

Nevertheless, it is possible to consider rougher noise than space-time. In view of the computations needed to define  $\tilde{B}(Z, Z)$  (Lemma 7) it is reasonable to consider a simplified case, namely when the covariance operator of the driving Wiener process is diagonal in the Fourier basis. This is the somewhat opposite case of Example 5, when we consider kernels q given by a distribution instead of a function.

Consider a bounded linear operator  $\Phi$  on  $\mathcal{L}^2$  and assume for the rest of this section the following properties,

-  $\Phi e_k = \phi_k e_k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\star}$ , - there is  $\beta > 0$  such that  $|\phi_k|^2 \le c|k|^{\beta}$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\star}$ .

The value  $\beta = 0$  corresponds to noise probably as bad as space-time white noise. Moreover, the definition of  $\widetilde{B}(Z, Z)$  imposes a structural restriction that limits the range of possible values of  $\beta$  to  $\beta < 1$ . The main problem of  $\beta > 1$  is that  $\mathbb{E}[|J_k(t)|^2]$  might no longer converge, and our simple renormalization is not good enough to handle this case. Additional renormalization seems to be necessary.

Consider now the SPDE with rougher noise

$$dh = (Ah + B(h, h)) dt + d\Phi W, \tag{15}$$

and the OU-process

$$Z(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)\Phi dW(s).$$
<sup>(16)</sup>

The same ideas presented earlier in Sect. 4, when slightly modified to take into account the parameter  $\beta$ , lead to the following result. See [18, Theorem 2.9].

**Theorem 3** Assume  $\beta \in (0, \frac{2}{3})$  and let  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1$  and  $\epsilon \in (\frac{\beta}{2}, (1-\beta) \land \frac{1}{2})$ .

Given the covariance operator  $\Phi$ , there exist a stopping time  $\tau_{h_0}$  and a solution h of (15) understood as h = v + Z with Z from (16), where v satisfies the mild formulation (13) on  $[0, \tau_{h_0})$ . Moreover,  $h \in C([0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{L}^2)$ ,  $h - z \in C((0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon})$ , and  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_{h_0} > 0] = 1$ . Finally, two such solutions are unique up to the minimum of their stopping time.

It is not obvious, but the restriction  $\beta < \frac{2}{3}$  appears due to the term B(v, Z) in the mild formulation (13). When the noise is too rough, the auxiliary function v is not enough regular to ensure that the product B(v, Z) is well-defined. We could either use the paracontrolled approach in [48] in order to define the product of two not sufficiently regular functions, or immediately go to higher terms in the expansions.

To overcome the difficulty for  $\beta \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1)$  in the decomposition of *h*, namely  $h = u + \zeta + Z$ , where

$$\zeta(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)\widetilde{B}(Z(s), Z(s))ds, \qquad (17)$$

and from (13) we see that  $u = v - \zeta$  is a solution of the mild formulation

$$u(t) = S(t)h_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s) \Big[ B(u,u) + 2B(u,Z) + 2B(u,\zeta) + 2\widetilde{B}(\zeta,Z) + \widetilde{B}(\zeta,\zeta) \Big] ds.$$
(18)

We now hope that u is sufficiently regular that B(u, Z) and also  $B(u, \zeta)$  are defined.

Moreover, we need to suitably define the products  $\widehat{B}(\zeta, z)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\zeta, \zeta)$ . We can proceed as we did for  $\widetilde{B}(z, z)$  in Sect. 4.2, by exploiting the cancellations in the expectations of these processes. Even if we would have enough regularity, in order to define the products directly, these Gaussian cancellations yield better regularity of the products.

We are able then to state the following result from [18, Theorem 2.10].

**Theorem 4** Assume  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and let  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1$  and  $\epsilon \in (\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ . Given the covariance operator  $\Phi$ , there exist a stopping time  $\tau_{h_0}$  and a solution h of (15) understood as  $h = u + \zeta + Z$ , where Z is given by (16),  $\zeta$  by (17) and  $u = h - z - \zeta$  satisfies the mild formulation (18) on  $[0, \tau_{h_0})$ . Moreover,  $h \in C([0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{L}^2)$ ,  $u \in C((0, \tau_{h_0}); \mathcal{H}^{1+\epsilon})$ 

and  $\mathbb{P}[\tau_{h_0} > 0] = 1$ . Finally, two such solutions are unique up to the minimum of their stopping time.

In principle, if a suitable regularization of  $\widetilde{B}$  would allow for even less regular noise with higher values of  $\beta$ , we could go to even higher terms in the expansion.

# 5 Global Existence

In this section we first focus on the one-dimensional case on the interval [0, L], as only here we have useful uniform global a-priori estimates for the spectral Galerkin-approximation. See (3). We comment on the case d = 2 in detail later in this section.

There are two standard ways of treating the global existence of solutions. The first one relies on the fixed point argument, which was explained in Sect. 3. Here the result is that small initial data yields global existence for all times. But in general one can only show that local solutions are smooth and continue until a possible blow up, which we discuss later in Sect. 6.

The other approach relies on an approximation like the spectral Galerkin method, for example, and uses the following steps:

- Energy type *a-priori* estimates for the approximation, like (3) for instance.
- Compactness of the approximating sequence (by using uniform bounds) yields a convergent subsequence.
- Passage to the limit in the approximation. The key problem here is usually the continuity of the nonlinear term in the weak topology provided by the compactness result.

This program was carried out for many models in numerous publications. For our model and d = 1 it was implemented by [103], and for the stochastically perturbed equation in [9, 11, 13]. See also [71, 88]. In all cases global solutions are only bounded uniformly in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ , which is not enough to ensure uniqueness provided for instance by the fixed-point argument.

A serious difficulty arises for SPDEs, if one only repeats path-wise the deterministic result, as the choice of the subsequence will depend on the realization under consideration, and thus in general the limit will no longer be a measurable random variable. This only works with stochastic equations that admit a *strong* (in terms of probability) solution. On the other hand we may consider a weaker (again in terms of probability) notion of solution, characterized only by the law. In this case for the approximations we consider compactness of the corresponding probability measures (Prokhorov's theorem), to obtain a subsequence independent of the realizations. The price one needs to pay is that the convergence is too weak to pass to the limit in the nonlinearity. But one can rely on the Skorokhod representation theorem in order to obtain, on a different probability space and with different input data (with the same distributions though), an almost surely convergent subsequence, which is sufficient for the passage to the limit. This procedure only yields a so called *Martingale solution*. See for instance [32, 38].

Here we intend to solve the equation for all initial conditions on the same probability space and follow the results of [9] that use the method developed by Flandoli & Romito [40] and [42] in order to establish the existence of weak solutions having the Markov property. For the precise formulation of the concept of solution see Definitions 5 and 6 below.

The method is essentially based on showing a multi-valued version of the Markov property for sets of all possible solutions and then applying a clever selection principle (Theorem 5). The original idea is due to Krylov [64] (see also Stroock & Varadhan [104, Chap. 12]).

A key point in this analysis is the definition of weak martingale solutions. The selection procedure needs to handle solutions which incorporate all the necessary bounds on the solution of the SPDE. These bounds must be compatible with the underlying Markov structure.

## 5.1 Setting

Let  $\Omega = C([0,\infty); \mathcal{H}^{-4})$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\xi : [0,\infty) \times \Omega \to \mathcal{H}^{-4}$  be the *canonical process* on  $\Omega$ , defined as  $\xi(t,\omega) = \omega(t)$ .

For each  $t \ge 0$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_t = \sigma[\xi(s): 0 \le s \le t]$  be the  $\sigma$ -field of events up to time t and  $\mathcal{B}^t = \sigma[\xi(s): s \ge t]$  be the  $\sigma$ -field of events after time t. The  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}_t$  can be seen as the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Omega_t = C([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^{-4})$  and, similarly,  $\mathcal{B}^t$  as the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Omega^t = C([t, \infty]; \mathcal{H}^{-4})$ . Notice that both  $\Omega_t$  and  $\Omega^t$  can be seen as Borel subsets of  $\Omega$  (by restriction to corresponding sub-intervals). Define finally the *forward shift*  $\Phi_t: \Omega \to \Omega^t$ , defined as

$$\Phi_t(\omega)(s) = \omega(s-t), \quad s \ge t.$$

Given a probability measure *P* on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  and t > 0, we shall denote by  $\omega \mapsto P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega} : \Omega \to \Omega^t$  a regular conditional probability distribution of *P* given  $\mathcal{B}_t$ . Notice that  $\Omega$  is a Polish space and  $\mathcal{B}_t$  is countably generated, so a regular conditional probability distribution does exist and is unique, up to *P*-null sets.

In particular,  $P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega}[\omega': \xi(t, \omega') = \omega(t)] = 1$  and, if  $A \in \mathcal{B}_t$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}^t$ , then

$$P[A \cap B] = \int_A P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega}[B] P(d\omega).$$

One can see the probability measures  $(P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$  as measures on  $\Omega$  such that  $P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega}[\omega' \in \Omega : \omega'(s) = \omega(s)$ , for all  $s \in [0, t]] = 1$  for all  $\omega$  in a  $\mathcal{B}_t$ -measurable *P*-full set. We finally define the *reconstruction* of probability measures (details on this can be found in Stroock & Varadhan [104, Chap. 6]).

**Definition 4** Given a probability measure *P* on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ , t > 0 and a  $\mathcal{B}_t$ -measurable map  $Q : \Omega \to \Pr(\Omega^t)$  such that  $Q_{\omega}[\xi_t = \omega(t)] = 1$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $P \otimes_t Q$  is the unique probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  such that

- 1.  $P \otimes_t Q$  agrees with P on  $\mathcal{B}_t$ ,
- 2.  $(Q_{\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a regular conditional probability distribution of  $P \otimes_t Q$ , given  $\mathcal{B}_t$ .

For shorthand notation denote by Pr(X) the set of all probability measures on the space *X* equipped with the Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra.

#### 5.2 The Martingale Problem

We state the weak formulation of (1) in terms of measures.

**Definition 5** (Weak Martingale Solution) Given  $\mu_0 \in Pr(\mathcal{L}^2)$ , a probability measure P on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  is a solution, starting at  $\mu_0$ , to the martingale problem associated to Eq. (1) if

**[W1]**  $P[L^2_{loc}([0,\infty); \mathcal{H}^1)] = 1$ , **[W2]** for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^\infty$ , the process  $(M^{\varphi}_t, \mathcal{B}_t, P)_{t \ge 0}$ , defined *P*-a.s. on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  as

$$M_t^{\varphi} = \left\langle \xi(t) - \xi(0), \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} + \int_0^t \left\langle \xi(s), \partial_x^4 \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} ds + \int_0^t \left\langle \left( \partial_x \xi(s) \right)^2, \partial_x^2 \varphi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} ds$$

is a Brownian motion with variance  $t \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2$ , [W3] the marginal at time 0 of P is  $\mu_0$ .

*Remark 10* It is not difficult to prove that the definition of weak martingale solution given above coincides with the usual definition given in terms of existence of an underlying probability space and a Wiener process. This equivalence is proved in Flandoli [38] for the Navier-Stokes equations and one can proceed similarly in this case, but we do not focus on this here.

Given all the  $M_t^{\varphi}$  one can rely on the Kolmogorov extension theorem, in order to show that there is a Wiener-process W such that  $M_t^{\varphi} = \langle W(t), \varphi \rangle$ , but here we can proceed in a simpler way, and define W directly. Define, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the process  $\beta_k(t) = M_t^{e_k}$ . Under any weak martingale solution P, the  $(\beta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  are a sequence of independent one-dimensional standard Brownian motions. By definition, one only needs to show the independence, which is also immediate. Let us only show that they are uncorrelated and neglect all other technical difficulties. By Definition 5

$$2\mathbb{E}\big[\beta_k(t)\beta_\ell(t)\big] = 2\mathbb{E}\big[M_t^{e_k}M_t^{e_\ell}\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[M_t^{e_k+e_\ell}\big]^2 - \mathbb{E}\big[M_t^{e_k}\big]^2 - \mathbb{E}\big[M_t^{e_\ell}\big]^2 = 0.$$

Define now the Wiener process W by (5) and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process Z by (6), both as random variables on  $\Omega$ . Recall that the process Z can be defined pathwise, without relying on Itō-calculus. In the following lemma we summarize all the regularity result for Z that we shall use for our result here. See also Sect. 2.1, where these properties are partly derived.

**Lemma 8** Given a weak martingale solution P, let Z be the corresponding OUprocess defined in (6). Then

1. For every  $p \ge 1$  and T > 0,  $Z \in L^p(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathcal{W}^{1,4})$ . Moreover there is  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\sup_{T>0} \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}^{P} \left[ \int_{0}^{T} \exp\left\{ \lambda |Z(t)|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,4}}^{2} \right\} dt \right] < \infty$$

- 2. For every  $p \ge 1$  and  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{3}{2}), Z \in L^p(\Omega; L^{\infty}_{loc}([0, \infty), \mathcal{H}^{\gamma})).$
- 3. Z is P—a.s. weakly continuous with values in  $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}$ , for every  $\gamma \in [0, \frac{3}{2})$ .

Note that we carefully need to check that these properties are really true for any weak martingale solution P. We are not allowed to use versions of the process Z. Thus continuity in time is not a property we can rely on.

**Definition 6** (Energy Martingale Solution) Given  $\mu_0 \in Pr(\mathcal{L}^2)$ , a probability measure *P* on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  is an *energy martingale solution* to Eq. (1) starting at  $\mu_0$  if

[E1] *P* is a weak martingale solution starting at  $\mu_0$ , [E2]  $P[V \in L^{\infty}_{loc}([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}^2) \cap L^2_{loc}([0,\infty); \mathcal{H}^2)] = 1$ , [E3] there is a set  $T_P \subset (0,\infty)$  of null Lebesgue measure such that

for all  $s \notin T_P$  and all  $t \ge s$ ,  $P[\mathcal{E}_t(V, Z) \le \mathcal{E}_s(V, Z)] = 1$ ,

where we used the standard transformation  $V(t, \omega) = \xi(t, \omega) - Z(t, \omega)$ , for  $t \ge 0$ , and the energy functional  $\mathcal{E}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_t(v,z) = \frac{1}{2} \|v(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \left( \|v\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2 - \left\langle 2\partial_x v \cdot \partial_x z + (\partial_x z)^2, \partial_x^2 v \right\rangle_{\mathcal{L}^2} \right) ds$$

for  $v \in L^{\infty}([0, t]; \mathcal{L}^2) \cap L^2([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^2)$  and  $z \in L^4([0, t]; \mathcal{W}^{1, 4}) \cap L^{\infty}([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^1)$ .

*Remark 11* (The Equation for V) Let P be an *energy martingale solution*, then it is easy to see (cf. Sect. 2.4) that V is a weak solution to the equation,

$$\partial_t V + \partial_x^4 V = -\partial_x^2 \big[ (\partial_x V + \partial_x Z)^2 \big], \qquad V(0) = \xi(0).$$

*Remark 12* (Finiteness of the Energy) Given an *energy martingale solution* P, then the energy  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is almost surely finite under P. Indeed, by [E2], it follows that V(t) is P-a.s. weakly continuous in  $\mathcal{L}^2$  (see for example Lemma 3.1.4 of Temam [109]), and so the function  $t \mapsto ||V(t)||_{\mathcal{L}^2}^2$  is defined point-wise in the energy estimate. Similarly, the other terms are also P-a.s. finite by [E2] and the regularity properties of Z under P.

*Remark 13* We remark that property **[E3]** is quite strong and that the energy inequality is an intrinsic regularity property of the solution to the original problem (1), and does not depend on the splitting V + Z. Similar estimates follow from **[E3]** for any other transformation  $\xi = V + Z_{\alpha}$ , where  $Z_{\alpha}(t)$  solves  $dZ_{\alpha} = -[\partial_x^4 Z - \alpha Z_{\alpha}]dt + dW$ . A similar result was discussed in [90] for the Navier-Stokes equations.

## 5.3 Existence of Markov Solutions

This section is devoted to the existence of Markov solutions for Eq. (1). To such aim, define for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ ,

 $C(f) = \{P : P \text{ is an energy martingale solution starting at } \delta_f\}.$ 

We state the main theorem of this section on existence of global solutions.

**Theorem 5** There exists a family  $(P_f)_{f \in \mathcal{L}^2}$  of probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$  such that for each  $f \in \mathcal{L}^2$ ,  $P_f$  is an energy martingale solution with initial distribution  $\delta_f$ , and the a.s. Markov property holds: there is a set  $T_P \subset (0, \infty)$  with null Lebesgue measure such that for all  $s \notin T_P$ , all  $t \geq s$  and all bounded measurable  $\phi : \mathcal{L}^2 \to \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}^{P}\left[\phi(\xi_{t})|\mathcal{B}_{t}\right] = \mathbb{E}^{P_{\xi_{s}}}\left[\phi(\xi_{t-s})\right].$$

Once the existence of at least one Markov family of solutions is ensured, the analysis of such solutions goes further. Indeed, the selection principle provides a family of solutions whose dependence with respect to the initial conditions is just measurability. By slightly restricting the set of initial condition, this dependence can be improved to continuity in the total variation norm (or *strong Feller* in terms of the corresponding transition semigroup). We do not study this in detail here, but this is crucial, if we want to study long term dynamics in terms of invariant measures.

*Idea of Proof* We follow [9, Theorem 3.1]. It is sufficient to show that the family  $(C(x))_{x \in \mathcal{L}^2}$  defined above is an *almost sure pre-Markov family*. We recall now the various properties of an a.s. pre-Markov family, which we need to verify in order to prove the theorem (see also Definition 2.5 of Flandoli & Romito [42]).

- 1. Each  $\mathcal{C}(x)$  is non-empty, compact and convex, and the map  $x \to \mathcal{C}(x)$  is measurable with respect to the Borel  $\sigma$ -fields of the space of compact subsets of  $Pr(\Omega)$  (endowed with the Hausdorff measure).
- 2. For each  $x \in \mathcal{L}^2$  and all  $P \in \mathcal{C}(x)$ ,  $P[C([0,\infty); \mathcal{L}^2_{weak})] = 1$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^2_{weak}$  is the space  $\mathcal{L}^2$  with the weak topology.
- For each x ∈ L<sup>2</sup> and P ∈ C(x) there is a set T ⊂ (0,∞) with null Lebesgue measure, such that for all t ∉ T the following properties hold:

(a) (disintegration) there exists  $N \in \mathcal{B}_t$  with P(N) = 0 such that for all  $\omega \notin N$ 

$$\omega(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2$$
 and  $P|_{\mathcal{B}_t}^{\omega} \in \Phi_t \mathcal{C}(\omega(t));$ 

(b) *(reconstruction)* for each  $\mathcal{B}_t$ -measurable map  $\omega \mapsto Q_\omega : \Omega \to \Pr(\Omega^t)$  such that there is  $N \in \mathcal{B}_t$  with P(N) = 0 and for all  $\omega \notin N$ 

$$\omega(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2$$
 and  $Q_\omega \in \Phi_t \mathcal{C}(\omega(t))$ ,

we have that  $P \otimes_t Q \in \mathcal{C}(x)$ .

The validity of these properties is verified [9]. Property (1) is verified by spectral Galerkin methods and compactness of probability measures (see [11]). Property (2) is a weak regularity property, while the main work is in property (3). From the almost sure pre-Markov family using the selection principle of Krylov [64] (see also Stroock & Varadhan [104, Chap. 12]) the existence of an almost sure Markov process follows.

## 5.4 Remarks on Invariant Measures

Let us discuss the long time behavior of the model. We are able to show that any Markov solution has a unique invariant measure whose support covers the whole state space. In principle the existence of stationary states has been already proved by Blömker and Hairer [13] using spectral Galerkin approximation. But this is not useful in this framework, as we have a transition semigroup that depends on the generic selection under analysis, which is in general not obtained by a suitable limit of Galerkin approximations.

We finally remark that, even though our results show that every Markov solution is strong Feller and converges to its own invariant measure, well posedness is still an open problem for this model and these result essentially do not improve our knowledge on the problem. Even the invariant measures could be different, as they depend from different Markov semigroups.

Let us remark that provided one can show that all possible invariant measures for all Markov-selections are the same, then the energy martingale solution is unique, see [89]. See also [37, 102] for some results loosely in the same direction.

#### 5.5 A Comparison with Previous Results on the Markov Property

There are several mathematical interests in this model, in comparison with the theory developed in Flandoli & Romito [40, 42] for the Navier-Stokes equations. Essentially, in this model we have been able to find the *natural space* for the Markov dynamics, thus showing the existence of the (unique) invariant measure. The corresponding result for Markov selections of the Navier–Stokes equations with noise can be found in [89], see also [92, 95].

Another challenge of this model has concerned the analysis of the energy inequality. Here the physics of the model requires a noise white in time and space, while the analysis developed in the above cited papers has been based on a trace-class noise with quite regular trajectories.

Finally, we remark that there is a different approach to handle the existence of solutions with the Markov property, based on spectral Galerkin methods, which has been developed by Da Prato and Debussche [30] (see also Debussche & Odasso [34]) for the Navier-Stokes equations. These techniques are not yet applied for the model analyzed here.

## 5.6 Remarks About 2D

In order to complete the same program for the (physically relevant) two-dimensional case d = 2, which is still open, there are several problems that need to be faced.

- When dealing with space-time white noise it turns out that the expected smoothness of the solution is not enough to define the non-linear term. This is discussed in Sect. 4. Here we would need to take into account that we need to define  $\tilde{B}$  for any given Martingale-solution, but the equation defining the Martingale-solution already contains  $\tilde{B}$ . But with slightly more regular Z or W this whole problem does not appear.
- In contrast with the one-dimensional case, existence of global weak solutions is harder, due to the lack of reasonable energy estimates in  $\mathcal{L}^2$ . Existence of weak solutions without noise has been proved in [115], using a-priori bounds derived from the estimate of  $\int e^h dx$ , which cannot be used for any approximation by

Galerkin methods. They rely on Roethe's method, a fully implicit discretization of the time derivative.

 Our proof of continuity of the laws in total variation [9, 41, 42] relies on the weakstrong uniqueness principle, namely uniqueness of local solutions in the class of weak solutions. The principle fails in two dimensions, and this is a serious obstacle for the proof of unique ergodicity.

## 6 Blow-up Criteria and Regularity

In this section we focus on the deterministic equation in dimension d = 1 and study possible singularities and a possible blow up, which existence is still wide open. These are usually standard results for PDEs of this type, and some are well known for instance for 3D-Navier Stokes.

Based on energy-type estimates, we state standard Leray type estimates for lower bounds on blow up in terms of  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ -norms. Moreover, we give a few examples for the fact that a blow up would lead to blow up of all critical norms. Finally, we state a well known result for an upper bound on the Hausdorff-dimension of the set of singularities in time.

All results for regularity and Leray type estimates are based on energy estimates. Many are optimal in the sense that they also hold for complex valued solutions. We will see in Sect. 7 that some complex valued solutions will blow up in finite time.

#### 6.1 Blow up Profile

In this section we discuss some properties of a possible blow up. First, from the concept of critical scaling one expects that all norms with higher regularity than the critical norm will also blow up, in particular all  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -norms with s > 1/2 should blow up.

Let us first give examples of blow-up profiles  $v = h(\tau)$  possible at the blow-up time  $\tau$ . For simplicity of presentation we only discuss  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -norms, as they are easier to compute. But one should also look at the spaces  $\mathcal{B}$  or  $\mathcal{Z}$  from Sect. 3.

- If v exhibits a *jump* like sign(x), then the Fourier-coefficients decay like 1/k, and thus v is in  $H^s$  if and only if  $s < \frac{1}{2}$ .
- If v exhibits a *logarithmic pole* like log(|x|), then the Fourier-coefficients decay like 1/k, and thus v is in  $H^s$  if and only if  $s < \frac{1}{2}$ . See also Example 4.
- If v exhibits a cusp like  $|x|^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , then the Fourier-coefficients decay like  $|k|^{-(1+\alpha)}$ , and thus v is in  $H^{1/2+\epsilon}$ , and not a possible blow up. It admits a unique solution that would continue the solution.

*Remark 14* (Stationary Solutions) The  $\mathcal{L}^2$  estimates (3) show that the only possible smooth stationary solution is  $h \equiv 0$ , as for global smooth solutions  $||h(t)||_{\mathcal{L}^2} \to 0$  for  $t \uparrow \infty$ . On the other hand the problem is one-dimensional, so we can try to solve the equation for stationary solutions  $\partial_x^4 h + \partial_x^2(|\partial_x h|^2) = 0$ , explicitly. By direct computations, we get all solutions

$$h(x) = c_1 + \log|1 + c_2 x|,$$

$$h(x) = c_1 + \log \left| \cosh bx + \frac{c_2}{b} \sinh bx \right|,$$
$$h(x) = c_1 + \log |b \cos bx + c_2 \sin bx|.$$

We remark that the singularities in the solutions above are all log-like, and some of them also satisfy periodic boundary conditions, which would make them formally a stationary solution. But none of them is sufficiently regular, to be a mild or weak solution. Nevertheless, they are exactly at the borderline of regularity, where the local uniqueness results of Sect. 3 fail. See also Example 4.

#### 6.2 Regularity Criteria

In principle the following should be true:

**Meta-theorem** If a solution is bounded in a critical space, then it is unique, and does not have a blow up. This means that the unique local solution exists as long as at least one (hence all, as the solution is then proved to be regular) of the critical norms is finite over the time horizon.

The main obstruction to the application of the meta-theorem is the boundedness of critical quantities. While there are cases (the Navier-Stokes equations in dimension two, for instance) where the a-priori estimates provide such bounds, this does not happen, as far as we know, in the problem studied here.

For simplicity, in the rest of the section we focus only on examples in a simple setting and consider solutions with sufficiently smooth initial condition, in order to have simple energy type estimates for the  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -norms without any trouble at t = 0. The standing assumption of this section is:

**Assumption 6** Let  $h_0 \in C^{\infty}$  and  $h = h(\cdot, h_0)$  be the unique local solution of the deterministic equation with d = 1 started at  $h_0$ . Furthermore let  $\tau(h_0)$  be the maximal time of h, and suppose  $\tau(h_0) < \infty$  implies  $\limsup_{t \to \tau} ||h(t)||_{\mathcal{H}^s} = \infty$  for one s. Moreover, by regularity h is  $C^{\infty}$  in space and time for  $t \in [0, \tau(h_0))$ .

**Theorem 7** Let Assumption 6 with s = 1 be true, i.e. the subcritical norm of  $L^{\infty}([0, \tau), \mathcal{H}^1)$  is infinite. If  $\tau(h_0) < \infty$ , then for every  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{2})$  the following critical norms are infinite

$$\int_0^{\tau(h_0)} \|h(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}}^{\frac{8}{2\alpha-1}} ds = \infty.$$

*Remark 15* As it is used several times in the proofs, we state the following elementary interpolation inequality. For  $\gamma > \alpha$  and  $\beta \in [\alpha, \gamma]$ ,

$$\|h\|_{\beta} \le C \|h\|_{\alpha}^{\frac{\gamma-\beta}{\gamma-\alpha}} \|h\|_{\gamma}^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha}}.$$
(19)

Note that for short-hand notation, we abbreviate  $\|\cdot\|_s = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}^s} = \|D^s \cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}^2}$  with  $D^s = (-\Delta)^{s/2}$ .

*Proof* Fix  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{2})$ , then by integration by parts and the Sobolev embedding  $H^{\frac{1}{6}} \subset L^3$ ,

$$\partial_t \|h\|_1^2 + 2\|h\|_3^2 = -2\langle \partial_x h, \partial_x^3 (|\partial_x h|^2) \rangle_{L^2} = 2 \int_0^L (\partial_x^2 h)^3 dx \le c \|h\|_{\frac{13}{6}}^3.$$

By interpolation (19) using Young's inequality,

$$\partial_t \|h\|_1^2 + 2\|h\|_3^2 \le \|h\|_3^2 + c\|h\|_{\alpha}^{\frac{8}{2\alpha-1}} \|h\|_1^2.$$

Finally, by Gronwall's lemma, the proof is complete.

*Remark 16* Note that we can prove the same statement for the  $L^p([0, \tau(h_0)], Y)$  norm of any other space *Y* provided

$$\|h\|_{\frac{13}{6}}^3 \le \|h\|_3^2 + c\|h\|_Y^p \|h\|_1^2.$$

Thus as example we also obtain under the assumptions of Theorem 7 that also the following critical norms are infinite:

$$\int_0^{\tau(h_0)} \|h(s)\|_{W^{1,4}}^{16/3} ds = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\tau(h_0)} \|h(s)\|_{C^1}^4 ds = \infty.$$

Moreover, we can consider derivatives of other  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -norms. Thus in principle we can obtain any critical space with this approach.

#### 6.3 Blow up Below Criticality

In this section we will study the blow up in a space below criticality, i.e. in some  $\mathcal{H}^s$  with  $s < \frac{1}{2}$ . This is a slight generalization of Theorem 7 and prepares the results of Leray type shown later. For  $\frac{1}{4} \le s \le 1$  we obtain:

$$\partial_t \|h\|_s^2 \le -c \|h\|_{2+s}^2 + 4 \int_0^L D^{2s} \partial_x h \cdot \partial_x h \partial_x^2 h \, dx$$
  
$$\le -c \|h\|_{2+s}^2 + C \|h\|_{1+2s} \|h\|_{\frac{9}{4}} \|h\|_{\frac{5}{4}},$$

where we used the Sobolev embedding  $H^{\frac{1}{4}} \subset L^4$ . Fix  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}]$  and use (19)

$$\partial_t \|h\|_s^2 \leq -c \|h\|_{2+s}^2 + C \|h\|_{\gamma}^{\frac{3+2s}{4+2s-2\gamma}} \|h\|_{2+s}^{\frac{9+4s-6\gamma}{4+2s-2\gamma}}.$$

Using Young's inequality with exponents  $p = (8 + 4s - 4\gamma)/(9 + 4s - 6\gamma)$  and  $q = (8 + 4s - 4\gamma)/(2\gamma - 1)$  we derive

$$\partial_t \|h\|_s^2 \leq C \|h\|_{\gamma}^{\frac{2(3+2s)}{2\gamma-1}}.$$

This inequality implies the following result.

**Theorem 8** Under Assumption 6 suppose  $s \in [\frac{1}{4}, 1]$ . Then for  $\gamma \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{4}]$ :

$$\int_0^{\tau(h_0)} \|h(t)\|_{\gamma}^{\frac{2(3+2s)}{2\gamma-1}} dt = \infty.$$

Note that for a blow up below criticality with  $s < \frac{1}{2}$  the  $L^p([0, T], H^{\gamma})$ -norm in this theorem has a smaller *p* than assured by Theorem 7. For fixed *s* the spaces in the above theorem always have the same level of criticality.

## 6.4 Leray Type Results

We state the following theorem, which is the analogy of one of the several celebrated results of Leray [67] on the Navier-Stokes equations. It relies mainly on a comparison result for ODEs and energy estimates.

**Theorem 9** Let Assumption 6 be true. If  $s > \frac{1}{2}$ , then there is a universal constant C > 0 only depending on s such that

$$||h(t)||_{s} \ge C(\tau(h_{0}) - t)^{-(2s-1)/8}$$
 for all  $t \in [0, \tau(h_{0})).$ 

*Proof* For  $s = 1 + \delta$  with  $\delta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|h\|_{1+\delta}^2 + 2\|h\|_{3+\delta}^2 &= -2\int_0^L \partial_x^2 D^{2\delta}h \cdot B(h,h) \, dx = 4\int_0^L \partial_x D^{2\delta}h \cdot B(h,\partial_x h) \, dx \\ &\leq C|h|_{1+\delta+\epsilon}|h|_{\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon}|h|_{3+\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 1 with  $\alpha = 2 + \delta$ ,  $\beta = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ , and  $\gamma = -\alpha + \epsilon$  for some small  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  such that  $\epsilon + \delta \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ . Now interpolation (see Remark 15) yields

$$\partial_t \|h\|_{1+\delta}^2 + 2\|h\|_{3+\delta}^2 \le C\|h\|_{1+\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}(7-2\delta)} \|h\|_{3+\delta}^{\frac{1}{4}(5+2\delta)}.$$

As  $(5+2\delta) < 8$ , apply Young's inequality with  $p = 8/(7-2\delta)$  and  $q = 8/(1+2\delta)$  to derive

$$\partial_t \|h\|_{1+\delta}^2 \le C \|h\|_{1+\delta}^{2(5+2\delta)/(1+2\delta)} = C \|h\|_s^{2(3+2s)/(2s-1)}$$

Recall that by comparison principle for ODEs for a solution  $\varphi$  of  $\varphi' \leq C\varphi^p$ , on  $(0, \tau)$  we have

$$\varphi(s) \le \left[\varphi(t)^{-(p-1)} + C(p-1)(t-s)\right]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$$
 for all  $0 < t < s < \tau(h_0)$ 

Solving this for  $\varphi(t)$  and passing to the limit  $s \to \tau(h_0)$  yields the claim for  $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$ .

The general case is proved similarly, by starting with  $\partial_t \|h\|_{k+\delta}^2$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and then distributing the derivatives as evenly as possible on the tri-linear terms by integration by parts. Thus proceeding as above yields the claim.

#### 6.5 The Set of Singular Times

Let us state without proof a bound on the set of singular times. Note that due to the energy estimate from (3) solutions are at some point smooth and small in  $\mathcal{H}^2$  and thus from that point on one has a global unique solution.

Let *h* be a global weak solution to (9) and consider the set of regular times of *h*,

 $\mathscr{R} = \{t \in (0, \infty) : h \text{ is continuous with values in } \mathcal{H}^1 \text{ in a neighborhood of } t\}.$ 

By the smoothness of local solutions  $\mathscr{R}$  is equal to the set of all times *t* such that *h* is  $C^{\infty}$  in space and time in a neighborhood of *t*. Define the set of singular times  $\mathscr{S} = [0, \infty) \setminus \mathscr{R}$ .

The theorem (in the spirit of results of Leray [67], Scheffer [97] for Navier-Stokes) states, that the set of singular times is "small". For the proof, which is based on Theorem 9, see [16].

**Theorem 10** Given a global weak solution h to (9), the set  $\mathscr{S}$  of singular times of h is a compact subset of  $[0, \infty)$  and

$$\mathbb{H}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\mathscr{S}) = 0,$$

where  $\mathbb{H}^{\frac{1}{4}}$  is the  $\frac{1}{4}$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

*Remark 17* It is possible to give a further limit to the set of singular times by showing that its box-counting dimension is smaller or equal than  $\frac{1}{4}$ . This result can be proved as in [87] and we refer to this paper for further details.

## 6.6 An "Inviscid" Variation

Analogous to the relation Navier-Stokes and Euler equation, one might neglect the surface diffusion  $h_{xxxx}$  and keep only the nonlinear surface dynamics. But in this case the blow-up is easier to prove. Consider

$$\partial_t h + \left(h_x^2\right)_{xx} = 0$$

subject to periodic boundary conditions on [0, L]. If we have a non-constant smooth solution of the above problem, then we will show below that there is always a loss of smoothness and thus a blow-up in finite time. For simplicity we argue by contradiction, and study sufficiently smooth solutions. However we do not try to determine the norm of least regularity that still blows up.

In fact the main issue for the inviscid problem above is the existence of a, at least local, smooth solution. By similarity with the Navier–Stokes/Euler equations, one may believe that the methods developed in the latter case [61, 106] may work. A quick series of computations shows that this is not the case. Indeed there is a more fundamental problem here, since not even general results such as the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya theorem work. The reason, roughly speaking, is the number of derivatives in the nonlinearity.

It is easy to verify that for a sufficiently smooth solution h the following quantities

$$\mathcal{M}_0 := \int_0^L h(t, x) \, dx, \qquad \mathcal{E}_0 := \int_0^L h(t, x)^2 \, dx, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^L h_x(t, x)^3 \, dx,$$

are conserved and independent of time. As usual we can assume without loss of generality that the total mass  $M_0$  is zero.

Let us prove first a bound in  $H^1$ . Let  $\phi(x)$  be a smooth function such that  $\phi''(x) = \arctan(x)$ , then the energy estimate for  $\phi(h)$  yields

$$\frac{2}{3} \int_0^t \int_0^L \frac{h_x^4(\tau)}{1 + h^2(\tau)} \, dx \, d\tau = \int_0^L \phi(h(0)) \, dx - \int_0^L \phi(h(t)) \, dx.$$

Since  $\phi$  has quadratic growth, the integrals on the right-hand side are uniformly bounded in time by a constant depending on  $\mathcal{E}_0$ . In particular,

$$\int_{0}^{L} h_{x}(t)^{2} dx \leq \left(\int_{0}^{L} \frac{h_{x}(t)^{4}}{1+h(t)^{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{0}^{L} 1+h(t)^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq c(L, \mathcal{E}_{0}) \left(\int_{0}^{L} \frac{h_{x}(t)^{4}}{1+h(t)^{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and we can conclude that for every t > 0,

$$\int_0^t \|h_x(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C(L, \mathcal{E}_0).$$

The  $H^1$  estimate immediately yields a  $L^{\infty}$  bound. Due to Sobolev's embeddings,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \|h(t)\|_{L^{\infty}}^{2} dt \le C(L, \mathcal{E}_{0}).$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

We can now preliminarily show that some solutions cannot be global.

**Proposition 6** Assume that h(0) is smooth and non-zero. If

$$\int_0^L h(0)^3 \, dx \le 0,$$

then there cannot be a global smooth solution with initial condition h(0).

*Proof* Let us first remark that *h* is by assumption on h(0) also non-zero. The energy estimate for  $\int h^3 dx$  yields after a short calculation

$$4\int_0^t \int_0^L |h_x(s)|^4 dx \, ds = \int_0^L h(0)^3 \, dx - \int_0^L h(t)^3 \, dx$$
$$\leq \int_0^L h(0)^3 \, dx + \mathcal{E}_0 \|h(t)\|_{\infty}.$$

By (20) we can deduce that there is a sequence  $t_n \uparrow \infty$  such that  $||h(t_n)||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$ . If we apply this fact to the previous inequality, we obtain a contradiction to *h* being non-zero:

$$4\int_0^\infty \int_0^L |h_x(s)|^4 \, dx \, ds \le \int_0^L h(0)^3 \, dx \le 0.$$

Let us prove now that no non-zero global solution exist.

**Theorem 11** Every smooth solution that exists for all times is constant.

*Proof* Assume, as before, without loss of generality that  $M_0 = 0$ . A simple computation yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^L e^{-h(t)} dx = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^L h_x^4 e^{-h(t)} dx,$$

and hence for any  $t \ge s \ge 0$ 

$$\int_0^L e^{-h(s)} \, dx \le \int_0^L e^{-h(t)} \, dx.$$

Consider again the sequence of times  $t_n \uparrow \infty$  such that  $||h(t_n)||_{L^{\infty}} \to 0$ . On this sequence

$$\int_0^L e^{-h(t_n)} dx \to L \quad \text{for } \to \infty.$$

Therefore by the conservation of mass for any  $s \ge 0$ 

$$\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L e^{-h(s)} dx \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L e^{-h(t_n)} dx = 1 = e^{-\frac{1}{L} \int_0^L h(s) dx}$$

This is the case of equality in the Jensen inequality, thus h(s) is constant and, since  $\mathcal{M}_0 = 0, h(s) \equiv 0.$ 

#### 7 Complex-Valued Blow up

In this section we study complex-valued solutions of the equation posed in d = 1. Obviously, our energy estimate (3) that is used for global existence fails. Our main aim is to provide a simple proof, that there is a blow up for complex valued solutions of (9). Here we construct a large class of solutions that do blow up. The key idea is to derive an infinite-dimensional system of ODEs that allows blow up by transporting mass to  $\infty$  in finite time. This is also the idea of the dyadic model embedded into an averaged Navier-Stokes equation in [108].

Our result points out the following fact. All energy estimates that do not rely on a special cancellation property, like the  $L^2$  estimate, cannot be used alone to rule out a possible blow up. This includes all energy type estimates like the ones in Sect. 6 that

treat norms of higher regularity than  $L^2$ , as they are usually also true for complexvalued solutions.

### 7.1 The Network of ODEs

We rewrite the PDE as an infinite system of ODEs, by going into Fourier-space. Suppose for simplicity of presentation that the equation is posed on  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Let

$$h(t, x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_k(t) e^{ixk}$$

then for all  $k \neq 0$  (note,  $h_0 \equiv 0$  by mass conservation)

$$\partial_t h_k = -k^4 h_k + k^2 \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} lh_l (k-l) h_{k-l}$$

**Definition 7** Define the weighted Fourier modes by  $\chi_k = kh_k$ .

Thus we have for all  $k \neq 0$ 

$$\partial_t \chi_k = -k^4 \chi_k + k^3 \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_l \chi_{k-l}.$$
(21)

In the following, we need local existence and uniqueness of solutions only for a simplified system, where it will be obvious. But let us remark that either the results in [19] for a probabilistic representation via branching processes yields the existence of solutions for the system (21), or we can modify our results in Sect. 3 and use existence of solutions for the original PDE.

**Definition 8** We define the following spaces

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \chi \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{Z}} : \ \chi_k = 0 \ \forall k \le 0 \right\} \cong \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}},$$
$$\Sigma^+ = \left\{ \chi \in \Sigma : \ \chi_k \ge 0 \ \forall k \ge 0 \right\} \cong [0, \infty)^{\mathbf{N}},$$
$$\Sigma(L) = \left\{ \chi \in \Sigma : \ |\chi_k| \le L^k \ \forall k \ge 0 \right\} \quad \text{for } L > 0$$

The space  $\Sigma(L)$  is a weighted  $L^{\infty}$ -space in Fourier space for solutions h of (9). Note that  $|\chi_k| \le L^k$  implies  $|h_k| \le L^k/k$ . For L > 1 this is a space of quite irregular distributions, while for  $L \in (0, 1)$  the corresponding functions h are  $C^{\infty}$ .

For L = 1 we conjecture that this corresponds to a critical space for h.

**Lemma 9** The spaces  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^+$  are invariant for (21) for sufficiently smooth solutions.

We do not give a detailed proof. One idea of proof is to use the probabilistic representation of solutions from [19]. Another approach would be to show that the

standard fixed-point-iteration for the mild formulation (see (23) below) preserves the spaces of functions with values in  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma^+$ .

# 7.2 Explicit Solution

In  $\Sigma$  Eq. (21) simplifies to

$$\partial_t \chi_k = -k^4 \chi_k + k^3 \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \chi_l \chi_{k-l} \quad \text{for all } k > 0.$$
 (22)

Thus any Fourier mode k is driven only by lower modes  $l \in \{1, ..., k\}$ . For the first few equations:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\partial_t \chi_1 = -\chi_1, \\
\partial_t \chi_2 = -16\chi_2 + 8\chi_1^2, \\
\partial_t \chi_3 = -81\chi_3 + 54\chi_1\chi_2, \\
\partial_t \chi_4 = -216\chi_4 + 108\chi_1\chi_3 + 54\chi_2^2, \\
\dots
\end{array}$$

This system can be solved inductively, and we obtain easily:

**Lemma 10** For all initial conditions in  $\Sigma$ , there is a solution  $\chi$  of (22) such that  $\chi(t) \in \Sigma$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Moreover, for all such solutions, the space  $\Sigma^+$  of positive solutions is invariant.

Let us remark, that there is no regularity for the solution provided by this lemma.

*Remark 18* (Mild Solution) We can also restate (22) as mild formulation for solutions in  $\Sigma$ .

$$\chi_k(t) = e^{-tk^4} \chi_k(0) + k^3 \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)k^4} \chi_l(s) \chi_{k-l}(s) \, ds.$$
(23)

# 7.3 Exponential Bound

This section provides the invariance of  $\Sigma(L)$ .

**Lemma 11** Suppose that  $\chi$  solves (22) in  $\Sigma$  and  $|\chi(0)| \in \Sigma(L)$  for some L > 0, then  $\chi(t) \in \Sigma(L)$  for all t > 0, k > 0.

This allows for exponential divergence (in *k*) of solutions provided  $\chi_k(0) > 1$  for one *k*.

*Proof* By induction. First,  $|\chi_1(t)| = e^{-t} |\chi_1(0)| \le L$ . Then, from the mild formulation (using the assertion up to k - 1)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_k(t) &| \le e^{-tk^4} |\chi_k(0)| + k^3 \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)k^4} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} |\chi_l(s)| \cdot |\chi_{k-l}(s)| ds \\ &\le e^{-tk^4} L^k + k^3 \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)k^4} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} L^l L^{k-l} ds \\ &\le L^k \left( e^{-tk^4} + k^4 \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)k^4} ds \right) \le L^k. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Lemma is also true if we consider only the first finitely many k.

## 7.4 Stability

Under very weak regularity assumptions (even exponentially growth of Fouriercoefficients) we can prove that the solution is eventually smooth after some time and converges to 0.

**Lemma 12** Suppose that  $\chi$  solves (22) in  $\Sigma(L)$  for some L > 0.

Then for all small  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a sequence of times  $0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_k \nearrow T^* < \infty$ , such that for all k > 0 one has

$$|\chi_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^k \quad for \ allt > T_k$$

Proof By Induction. First,

$$|\chi_1(t)| \le \mathrm{e}^{-t} L < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$$
 for  $t > t_1 = \ln(2L/\epsilon)$ .

Then, using the mild formulation (23)

$$\chi_k(T_{k-1}+t) \le e^{-tk^4} |\chi_k(T_{k-1})| + k^3 \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)k^4} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^l \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{k-l} ds$$
$$\le e^{-tk^4} L^k + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon^k < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^k$$

for all  $t > t_k = \frac{1}{k^4} \ln(4L^k/\epsilon^k)$ . Finally, define  $T_k = \sum_{l=1}^k t_l$ , which converges as  $t_l \sim C/l^3$ .

## 7.5 The Blow-up

This section provides a very simple lower bound that leads to a blow up for sufficiently large smooth solutions in  $\Sigma^+$ . Let us remark that [68, 69] proved a similar

**Fig. 2** First six curves of  $\chi_k$ , growing fast in *k* already for  $\chi_1(0) = 2.2$  and  $\chi_k(0) = 0$  for  $k \neq 1$ . The value necessary for the lower bound of Theorem 12 would be  $\chi_1(0) > 27$ , as a = b = 1.

result for 3D-Navier Stokes which is significantly more complicated, but yields solution with finite  $\mathcal{L}^2$ -norm, while we only obtain a lower bound on the blow up of solutions.

**Theorem 12** Suppose  $\chi$  is a solution of (22) in  $\Sigma^+$ , such that for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and C > 0

$$\chi_k(0) \ge C \quad for \ k \in [a, b].$$

Then for any  $L \in [2, \frac{2}{27} \frac{Ca^3}{b^4}]$  (and thus C > 0 large) there are times  $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_N \nearrow T^* < \infty$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following is true: For all  $k \in [a2^N, b2^N]$ 

$$\chi_k(T_N) \ge CL^N$$
 and  $\inf_{t\in[T_N,T_{N+1}]} \{\chi_k(t)\} \ge \frac{2}{3}CL^N.$ 

*Thus for some time*  $t^* \leq T^*$ 

$$\|\chi(t)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\chi_k(t)| \nearrow \infty \quad fort \to t^*.$$

For a numerical illustration see Fig. 2. Note that this result is in well agreement with the criticality heuristic. It is easy to check that  $\|\chi(t)\|_{\infty} \leq C \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^s}$  for all  $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus the norm blows up in the critical and all subcritical  $\mathcal{H}^s$ -spaces.

*Proof* We proceed by induction on N. Define  $T_N = \sum_{k=1}^N t_k$ , where  $t_k$  is defined later. Furthermore, fix  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$ .

For N = 0 the lower bound holds at  $T_0 = 0$ . For the lower bound on  $[T_0, T_1] = [0, t_1]$  consider for  $k \in [a, b]$  that for  $t \in [0, t_1]$ 

$$\chi_k(t) \ge e^{-tk^4} \chi_k(0) \ge e^{-t_1b^4} C = \alpha C$$
 if  $t_1 = \frac{1}{b^4} \ln(\alpha^{-1})$ .

For the induction step from N - 1 to N we first prove the bound at  $T_N$ . Fix  $k \in [a, b] \cdot 2^N$ . By assumption on  $[T_{N-1}, T_N]$  we have

$$\chi_l \chi_{k-l} \geq \alpha^2 C^2 L^{2N-2}$$



for at least one  $l \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$ . Thus

$$\chi_k(T_N) = \chi_k(T_{N-1} + t_N)$$

$$\geq k^3 \int_0^{t_N} e^{-(t_N - s)k^4} \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \chi_l(T_{N-1} + s) \chi_{k-l}(T_{N-1} + s) ds$$

$$\geq a^3 2^{3N} \int_0^{t_N} e^{-(t_N - s)b^4 2^{4N}} ds \cdot \alpha^2 C^2 L^{2N-2}$$

$$\geq \frac{a^3}{b^4} \frac{1}{2^N} (1 - e^{-t_N b^4 2^{4N}}) \alpha^2 C^2 L^{2N-2} = CL^N$$

provided that

$$t_N = \frac{1}{b^4 2^{4N}} \ln \left( \left( 1 - \left( \frac{2}{L} \right)^N \frac{b^4 L^2}{C \alpha^2 a^3} \right)^{-1} \right).$$

Here we used that  $t_N$  is well defined for all N, if  $L \ge 2$  and  $2Lb^4 < C\alpha^2 a^3$ , which is true by assumption.

On  $[T_N, T_{N+1}]$  we now use the lower bound at time  $T_N$ . Fix again  $k \in [a, b]2^N$ , then

$$\chi_k(T_N+t) \ge \mathrm{e}^{-tk^4} \,\chi_k(T_N) \ge \mathrm{e}^{-tb^4 2^{4N}} \, CL^N \ge \alpha CL^N,$$

if  $t_N \le b^{-4} 2^{-4N} \ln(\alpha^{-1})$ . This in turn is true for all N, if

$$\frac{1}{2}(1-\alpha)\alpha^2 \ge \frac{Lb^4}{Ca^3}$$

which is true by assumption. Note that  $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$  maximizes the right hand side with maximum 2/27. It remains to check that  $T_* = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} t_l < \infty$ . But this is true, as for  $N \to \infty$ 

$$t_N = \frac{1}{b^4 2^{4N}} \ln\left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^N\right)\right) = \frac{1}{b^4 2^{4N}} \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{2}{L}\right)^N\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{8L}\right)^N\right).$$

We conclude the paper by rephrasing the result of the previous theorem in terms of the original deterministic PDE (9).

**Corollary 2** There are  $2\pi$ -periodic and complex-valued solutions h of (9) with smooth initial conditions (i.e.,  $C^{\infty}$ ) such that for some finite time  $t^* > 0$ 

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} |k| |h_k(t)| \nearrow \infty \quad fort \to t^*.$$

where  $\{h_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  are the Fourier coefficients of h.

Especially, all  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ -norms for  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$  blow up at  $t^{\star}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}^{1/2}$  is a critical space. Note that for  $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$  the  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ -norms might still all be finite. **Acknowledgements** We thank Christian Nolde for the code used in the simulations presented in Fig. 1. We also like to thank Franco Flandoli for joint work on the inviscid surface growth and valuable comments that improved the manuscript, Martin Hairer for many interesting discussions, and Michael Winkler for discussions on possible blow up.

# References

- 1. Adams, R.A., Fournier, J.J.F.: Sobolev Spaces. Academic Press, San Diego (2003)
- 2. Agélas, L.: Global regularity of solutions of equation modeling epitaxy thin film growth in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , d = 1, 2. J. Evol. Equ. **15**(1), 89–106 (2015). doi:10.1007/s00028-014-0250-6
- Barabasi, A.L., Stanley, H.E.: Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995)
- Barbato, D., Morandin, F., Romito, M.: Smooth solutions for the dyadic model. Nonlinearity 24(11), 3083–3097 (2011) (featured article)
- Barbato, D., Morandin, F., Romito, M.: Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative dyadic equation. Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 11(1), 39–52 (2014)
- Barbato, D., Morandin, F., Romito, M.: Global regularity for a slightly supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes system. Anal. PDE 7(8), 2009–2027 (2014)
- 7. Beale, J.T., Kato, T., Majda, A.: Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations. Commun. Math. Phys. **94**(1), 61–66 (1984)
- Blömker, D.: Nonhomogeneous noise and Q-Wiener processes on bounded domains. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 23(2), 255–273 (2005)
- 9. Blömker, D., Flandoli, F., Romito, M.: Markovianity and ergodicity for a surface growth PDE. Ann. Probab. **37**(1), 275–313 (2009)
- Blömker, D., Gugg, C.: Thin-film-growth-models: on local solutions. In: Albeverio, S., et al. (eds.) Recent Developments in Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics. Proceedings of the First Sino-German Conference on Stochastic Analysis (A Satellite Conference of ICM 2002), Beijing, China, August 29–September 3, 2002, pp. 66–77. World Scientific, River Edge (2004)
- Blömker, D., Gugg, C.: On the existence of solutions for amorphous molecular beam epitaxy. Nonlinear Anal., Real World Appl. 3(1), 61–73 (2002)
- Blömker, D., Gugg, C., Raible, M.: Thin-film-growth models: roughness and correlation functions. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 13(4), 385–402 (2002)
- Blömker, D., Hairer, M.: Stationary solutions for a model of amorphous thin-film growth. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 22(4), 903–922 (2004)
- Blömker, D., Maier-Paape, S., Wanner, T.: Roughness in surface growth equations. Interfaces Free Bound. 3(4), 465–484 (2001)
- Blömker, D., Nolde, C., Robinson, J.C.: Rigorous numerical verification of uniqueness and smoothness in a surface growth model. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 429(1), 311–325 (2015)
- Blömker, D., Romito, M.: Regularity and blow up in a surface growth model. Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 6(3), 227–252 (2009)
- Blömker, D., Romito, M.: Local existence and uniqueness in the largest critical space for a surface growth model. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. 19(3), 365–381 (2012)
- Blömker, D., Romito, M.: Local existence and uniqueness for a two-dimensional surface growth equation with space-time white noise. Stoch. Anal. Appl. 31(6), 1049–1076 (2013)
- Blömker, D., Romito, M., Tribe, R.: A probabilistic representation for the solutions to some nonlinear PDEs using pruned branching trees. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 43(2), 175–192 (2007)
- Bogachev, V.I.: Gaussian Measures. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 62. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1998)
- Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R., Nirenberg, L.: Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 35(6), 771–831 (1982)
- Cannone, M.: Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. In: Friedlander, S., Serre, D. (eds.) Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, vol. 3, pp. 161–244. North-Holland, Amsterdam (2004)
- Castro, M., Cuerno, R., Vázquez, L., Gago, R.: Self-organized ordering of nanostructures produced by ion-beam sputtering. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 016102 (2005)

- Chernyshenko, S.I., Constantin, P., Robinson, J.C., Titi, E.S.: A posteriori regularity of the threedimensional Navier-Stokes equations from numerical computations. J. Math. Phys. 48(6), 065204 (2007), 15 pp.
- Chow, P.-L.: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Chapman & Hall/CRC Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Science Series. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton (2007)
- Collet, P., Eckmann, J.-P., Epstein, H., Stubbe, J.: A global attracting set for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. Commun. Math. Phys. 152(1), 203–214 (1993)
- Cuerno, R., Barabási, A.-L.: Dynamic scaling of ion-sputtered surfaces. Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4746– 4749 (1995)
- Da Prato, G., Debussche, A.: Stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. 26(2), 1–263 (1996)
- Da Prato, G., Debussche, A.: Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations driven by a space-time white noise. J. Funct. Anal. 196(1), 180–210 (2002)
- Da Prato, G., Debussche, A.: Ergodicity for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 82(8), 877–947 (2003)
- Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992)
- Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions, 2nd edn. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 152. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014)
- Dashti, M., Robinson, J.C.: An a posteriori condition on the numerical approximations of the Navier-Stokes equations for the existence of a strong solution. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 46(6), 3136–3150 (2008)
- Debussche, A., Odasso, C.: Markov solutions for the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with state dependent noise. J. Evol. Equ. 6(2), 305–324 (2006)
- Escudero, C., Gazzola, F., Peral, I.: Global existence versus blow-up results for a fourth order parabolic PDE involving the Hessian. J. Math. Pures Appl. 103(4), 924–957 (2015). doi:10.1016/ j.matpur.2014.09.007
- Escudero, C., Hakl, R., Peral, I., Torres, P.J.: On radial stationary solutions to a model of nonequilibrium growth. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 24(3), 437–453 (2013)
- Es-Sarhir, A., Stannat, W.: Improved moment estimates for invariant measures of semilinear diffusions in Hilbert spaces and applications. J. Funct. Anal. 259(5), 1248–1272 (2010)
- Flandoli, F.: An introduction to 3D stochastic fluid dynamics. In: Proceedings of the CIME Course on SPDE in Hydrodynamics: Recent Progress and Prospects. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1942, pp. 51–150. Springer, Berlin (2008)
- Flandoli, F., Romito, M.: Statistically stationary solutions to the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations do not show singularities. Electron. J. Probab. 6(5) (2001), 15 pp. (electronic)
- Flandoli, F., Romito, M.: Markov selections and their regularity for the three-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 343, 47–50 (2006)
- Flandoli, F., Romito, M.: Regularity of transition semigroups associated to a 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equation. In: Baxendale, P.H., Lototski, S.V. (eds.) Stochastic Differential Equations: Theory and Applications. Interdiscip. Math. Sci., vol. 2, pp. 263–280. World Scientific, Singapore (2007)
- Flandoli, F., Romito, M.: Markov selections for the three-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 140(3–4), 407–458 (2008)
- Fujita, H., Kato, T.: On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem. I. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 16, 269–315 (1964)
- Frisch, T., Verga, A.: Effect of step stiffness and diffusion anisotropy on the meandering of a growing vicinal surface. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 166104 (2006)
- 45. Friz, P., Hairer, M.: A Course on Rough Paths: With an Introduction to Regularity Structures. Springer, Berlin (2014)
- Germain, P., Pavlović, N., Staffilani, G.: Regularity of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations evolving from small data in BMO<sup>-1</sup>. Int. Math. Res. Not. 21 (2007), Art. ID rnm087, 35 pp.
- Goldys, B., Röckner, M., Zhang, X.: Martingale solutions and Markov selections for stochastic partial differential equations. Stoch. Process. Appl. 119(5), 1725–1764 (2009)
- Gubinelli, M., Imkeller, P., Perkowski, N.: Paraproducts, rough paths and controlled distributions (2014). arXiv:1210.2684v3 [math.PR]
- 49. Hairer, M.: An Introduction to Stochastic PDEs. Lecture Notes (2009). arXiv:0907.4178 [math.PR]
- 50. Hairer, M.: Solving the KPZ equation. Ann. Math. 178(2), 559–664 (2013)
- 51. Hairer, M.: A theory of regularity structures. Invent. Math. 198(2), 269-504 (2014)

- Hairer, M., Weber, H.: Rough Burgers-like equations with multiplicative noise. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 155(1–2), 71–126 (2013)
- Hairer, M., Weber, H.: Large deviations for white-noise driven, nonlinear stochastic PDEs in two and three dimensions. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 24(1), 55–92 (2015). doi:10.5802/afst.1442
- Halpin-Healy, T., Zhang, Y.C.: Kinetic roughening phenomena, stochastic growth, directed polymers and all that. Phys. Rep. 254, 215–414 (1995)
- Henry, D.: Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 840. Springer, Berlin (1981)
- Hoppe, R., Linz, S., Litvinov, W.: On solutions of certain classes of evolution equations for surface morphologies. Nonlinear Phenom. Complex Syst. 6, 582–591 (2003)
- Hoppe, R., Nash, E.: A combined spectral element/finite element approach to the numerical solution of a nonlinear evolution equation describing amorphous surface growth of thin films. J. Numer. Math. 100(2), 127–136 (2002)
- Hoppe, R.H., Nash, E.: Numerical solution of a nonlinear evolution equation describing amorphous surface growth of thin films. In: Feistauer, M., et al. (eds.) Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications. Proceedings of ENUMATH 2003, the 5th European Conference on Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications, Prague, Czech Republic, August 18–22, 2003, pp. 440–448. Springer, Berlin (2004)
- Kardar, M., Parisi, G., Zhang, Y.-C.: Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces. Phys. Rev. Lett. 56(9), 889–892 (1986)
- Karatzas, I., Shreve, S.E.: Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus, 2nd edn. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 113. Springer, Berlin (1991)
- 61. Kato, T.: Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in R<sup>3</sup>. J. Funct. Anal. 9, 296–305 (1972)
- Koch, H., Tataru, D.: Well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations. Adv. Math. 157(1), 22–35 (2001)
- 63. Koch, H., Lamm, T.: Geometric flows with rough initial data. Asian J. Math. 16(2), 209-235 (2012)
- Krylov, N.V.: The selection of a Markov process from a Markov system of processes, and the construction of quasi-diffusion processes. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 37, 691–708 (1973) (Russian)
- Lai, Z.-W., Das Sarma, S.: Kinetic growth with surface relaxation: continuum versus atomistic models. Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2348–2351 (1991)
- Lemarié-Rieusset, P.G.: Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem. Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 431. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2002)
- Leray, J.: Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. Acta Math. 63(1), 193–248 (1934)
- Li, D., Sinai, Ya.G.: Blow ups of complex solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes system and renormalization group method. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 10(2), 267–313 (2008)
- Li, D., Sinai, Ya.G.: Complex singularities of solutions of some 1D hydrodynamic models. Physica D 237, 1945–1950 (2008)
- Liu, K.: Stability of Infinite Dimensional Stochastic Differential Equations with Applications. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Math., vol. 135. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2006)
- Liu, W., Röckner, M.: Local and global well-posedness of SPDE with generalized coercivity conditions. J. Differ. Equ. 254(2), 725–755 (2013)
- Lunardi, A.: Analytic Semigroups and Optimal Regularity in Parabolic Problems. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1995)
- Morosi, C., Pizzocchero, L.: On approximate solutions of semilinear evolution equations. II: Generalizations, and applications to Navier-Stokes equations. Rev. Math. Phys. 20(6), 625–706 (2008)
- Morosi, C., Pizzocchero, L.: An H<sup>1</sup> setting for the Navier-Stokes equations: quantitative estimates. Nonlinear Anal. 74(6), 2398–2414 (2011)
- Morosi, C., Pizzocchero, L.: On approximate solutions of the incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. Nonlinear Anal. 75(4), 2209–2235 (2012)
- Mörters, P., Peres, Y.: Brownian Motion. With an Appendix by Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, vol. 30. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- Muñoz-García, J., Cuerno, R., Castro, M.: Coupling of morphology to surface transport in ion-beamirradiated surfaces: normal incidence and rotating targets. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 21(22), 224020 (2009)

- Muñoz-García, J., Gago, R., Vázquez, L., Sánchez-García, J.A., Cuerno, R.: Observation and modeling of interrupted pattern coarsening: Surface nanostructuring by ion erosion. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 026101 (2010)
- 79. Nelson, E.: The free Markoff field. J. Funct. Anal. 12, 211-227 (1973)
- Pazy, A.: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer, New York (1983)
- Politi, P., ben-Avraham, D.: From the conserved Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation to a coalescing particles model. Physica D 238, 156–161 (2009)
- Prévot, C., Röckner, M.: A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2007)
- Prodi, G.: Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 48(4), 173–182 (1959) (Italian)
- Raible, M., Linz, S.J., Hänggi, P.: Amorphous thin film growth: minimal deposition equation. Phys. Rev. E 62, 1691–1705 (2000)
- Raible, M., Linz, S., Hänggi, P.: Amorphous thin film growth: modeling and pattern formation. Adv. Solid State Phys. 41, 391–403 (2001)
- Raible, M., Mayr, S.G., Linz, S.J., Moske, M., Hänggi, P., Samwer, K.: Amorphous thin film growth: theory compared with experiment. Europhys. Lett. 50, 61–67 (2000)
- Robinson, J.C., Sadowski, W.: Decay of weak solutions and the singular set of the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations. Nonlinearity 20(5), 1185–1191 (2007)
- Röckner, M., Zhu, R., Zhu, X.: Local existence and non-explosion of solutions for stochastic fractional partial differential equations driven by multiplicative noise. Stoch. Process. Appl. 124(5), 1974–2002 (2014)
- Romito, M.: Analysis of equilibrium states of Markov solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations driven by additive noise. J. Stat. Phys. 131(3), 415–444 (2008)
- Romito, M.: Existence of martingale and stationary suitable weak solutions for a stochastic Navier-Stokes system. Stochastics 82(3), 327–337 (2010)
- Romito, M.: An almost sure energy inequality for Markov solutions to the 3D Navier-Stokes equations. In: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations and Applications. Quad. Mat., vol. 25, pp. 243– 255. Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta (2010)
- Romito, M.: Critical strong Feller regularity for Markov solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384(1), 115–129 (2011)
- Romito, M.: The Martingale problem for Markov solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. In: Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications VI. Progr. Probab., vol. 63, pp. 227– 244. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel (2011)
- Romito, M.: Uniqueness and blow-up for a stochastic viscous dyadic model. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 158(3–4), 895–924 (2014)
- Romito, M., Xu, L.: Ergodicity of the 3D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by mildly degenerate noise. Stoch. Process. Appl. 121(4), 673–700 (2011)
- Runst, T., Sickel, W.: Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. de Gruyter, Berlin (1996)
- Scheffer, V.: Turbulence and Hausdorff Dimension, Proc. Conf, Univ. Paris-Sud. Orsay, 1975. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 565, pp. 174–183. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- Schilling, R., Partzsch, L.: Brownian Motion. An Introduction to Stochastic Processes. De Gruyter Textbook, de Gruyter, Berlin (2014)
- Serrin, J.: On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 9, 187–195 (1962)
- Siegert, M., Plischke, M.: Solid-on-solid models of molecular-beam epitaxy. Phys. Rev. E 50, 917– 931 (1994)
- 101. Simon, B.: The  $P(\phi)_2$  Euclidean (Quantum) Field Theory. Princeton Series in Physics. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974)
- Stannat, W.: Stochastic partial differential equations: Kolmogorov operators and invariant measures. Jahresber. Dtsch. Math.-Ver. 113(2), 81–109 (2011)
- Stein, O., Winkler, M.: Amorphous molecular beam epitaxy: global solutions and absorbing sets. Eur. J. Appl. Math. 16(6), 767–798 (2005)
- 104. Stroock, D.W., Varadhan, S.R.S.: Multidimensional Diffusion Processes. Springer, Berlin (1979)
- Sun, T., Guo, H., Grant, M.: Dynamics of driven interfaces with a conservation law. Phys. Rev. A 40, R6763–R6766 (1989)

- 106. Swann, H.S.G.: The convergence with vanishing viscosity of nonstationary Navier-Stokes flow to ideal flow in R<sub>3</sub>. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 157, 373–397 (1971)
- Tao, T.: Global regularity for a logarithmically supercritical hyperdissipative Navier-Stokes equation. Anal. PDE 2(3), 361–366 (2009)
- Tao, T.: Finite time blowup for an averaged three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation. J. Am. Math. Soc. (2015). doi:10.1090/jams/838
- Temam, R.: Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis. Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 2. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984)
- Temam, R.: Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics. Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 68. Springer, New York (1988)
- 111. van Neerven, J.: Stochastic Evolution Equations. ISEM Lecture Notes (2007/2008)
- Villain, J.: Continuum models of crystal growth from atomic beams with and without desorption. J. Phys. I France 1, 19–42 (1991)
- Walsh, J.B.: An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In: École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1180, pp. 265–439. Springer, Berlin (1986)
- Wang, C.: Well-posedness for the heat flow of biharmonic maps with rough initial data. J. Geom. Anal. 22(1), 223–243 (2012)
- Winkler, M.: Global solutions in higher dimensions to a fourth-order parabolic equation modeling epitaxial thin-film growth. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 62(4), 575–608 (2011)
- Zhu, R., Zhu, X.: Three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations driven by space-time white noise. J. Differ. Equ. 259(9), 4443–4508 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.jde.2015.06.002



**Dirk Blömker** received his Diploma in 1997 from the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität (WWU) Münster and his Doctoral degree in February 2000 from the Universität Augsburg. From 2001 until 2006 he held a position as an Assistent (C1) at the Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, and stayed at Warwick university (2003) and Universität Bonn (winter 2005/2006). In January 2006 he received his Habilitation from the RWTH Aachen and since October 2006 he holds a position as Professor at the Universität Augsburg. His research interests are stochastic partial differential equations, slow invariant manifolds, multi-scale problems, amplitude/modulation-equations, phase separation, stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation, surface growth, and numerical analysis.



Marco Romito received his Master degree in Mathematics from Università di Bari in 1994, and his Doctoral degree in Mathematics in May 2001 from Università di Pisa. From 1998 to 2011 he held a position as Ricercatore at the Università di Firenze, and since 2011 he holds a position as associate professor at Università di Pisa. He has been Marie-Curie fellow at Warwick University in 2001, junior visiting fellow at HIM, Bonn in 2008, visiting fellow at the Newton Insitute in Cambridge and professeur invité at ENS Bretagne, Rennes in 2010, and research member at MSRI Berkeley in 2015. His research interests are stochastic partial differential equations, stochastic analysis and applications in mathematical fluid dynamics. CLASSICS REVISITED



# Jacques Tits: "Œuvres. Collected Works, Vols. I–IV". Edited by Francis Buekenhout, Bernhard Matthias Mühlherr, Jean-Pierre Tignol, Hendrik Van Maldeghem

EMS, 2013, 3963 pp.

Linus Kramer<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 21 August 2015 © Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

Jacques Tits is one of the outstanding mathematicians of our time. His published (and unpublished) works, most of which are collected in these impressive four volumes, encompass a wide area of modern mathematics. The central and recurring topics in his œuvre are certainly group theory and geometry. However, group theory itself is a vast area. During the last six decades, Jacques Tits has contributed important results to finite group theory, to Lie theory, to algebraic group theory, to combinatorial and geometric group theory, to Kac-Moody theory, and to arithmetic geometry. Probably he is one of the few present-day mathematicians who may claim to have an overview of group theory in its entirety. In some of these areas, he was among those who laid the foundations, while in others, he pushed existing results much further. The task to describe what is in these four volumes is thus somewhat intimidating. I will try to highlight and describe some of their contents, led partially by my own mathematical interests and knowledge. The four volumes group his work, of course, in a chronological order. In what follows, I will try to group some of Tits' contributions by their topics.

**Multiply Transitive Groups and Lie Groups** A group G acting on a set X is called k-transitive if given any two k-tuples of distinct elements of X, there is a group element g that maps one k-tuple to the other. We assume here that X contains at least k elements. The action is called sharply k-transitive if the group element in question is uniquely determined. The reader should be warned here that the terminology

<sup>1</sup> Münster, Germany

This is a slightly revised version of a review which appeared first in Zentralblatt für Mathematik (Zbl 1297.01042).

L. Kramer linus.kramer@wwu.de

has changed over the years, and also varies in Tits' articles—what we call sharply *k*-transitive here was called earlier *k*-transitive.

In any case, the symmetric group on k letters is an example of a sharply k-transitive group. At the same time, its action is  $\ell$ -transitive for all  $\ell \leq k$ . If F is a field, then the projective linear group PGL<sub>2</sub>(F) acts sharply 3-transitively on the projective line  $P = F \cup \{\infty\}$ . Surprisingly, there exist no infinite sharply k-transitive groups for  $k \geq 4$ . Two of the sporadic simple groups, the Mathieu groups  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{12}$ , are among the finite sharply 4- and 5-transitive groups. Tits' PhD Thesis [6] discusses these transitivity properties, first in the case of the projective linear groups, and then in general. It contains, in particular, the classification of all sharply k-transitive groups with  $k \geq 4$ , a remarkable result.

In the long article [7] (roughly equivalent to a *Habilitationsschrift*) Tits obtains a large number of interesting results about homogeneous spaces of Lie groups and locally compact groups, based on the transitivity properties of the actions. My favorite is the classification of 2-transitive Lie groups. Suppose that a Lie group G acts faithfully (i.e., with trivial kernel) and 2-transitively on a connected manifold X. In present-day terminology, he proves that there are precisely three cases.

- (1) The identity component  $G^{\circ}$  of G is the projective special linear group over the real numbers, the complex numbers, the quaternions or the Cayley division algebra, acting on the corresponding projective space.
- (2)  $G^{\circ}$  is the connected isometry group of a hyperbolic space over the real numbers, the complex numbers, the quaternions or the Cayley division algebra, acting on its visual boundary.
- (3)  $G = H \ltimes \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $H \subseteq GL_m \mathbb{R}$  is a closed linear Lie group acting transitively on the nonzero vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .

In particular, the topological space X is either a projective space or a sphere of a real vector space. Related results on the topology of X had been obtained at the same time, and independently, by A. Borel. However, Borel did not classify the corresponding Lie groups.

In the same article, Tits obtains many more equally interesting classification results. For example, he classifies transitive faithful *G*-actions on manifolds where every point stabilizer  $G_x$  acts transitively on the tangent directions at *x*. The results in [7] seem to be less known than they deserve. Some particular cases were rediscovered and reproved several times by others. This is probably also due to the fact that prior to the publication of Tits' collected works, it was difficult to get hold of a copy of [7].

**The Structure of Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups** The article [7] depends very much on the structure theory of semisimple Lie groups. As Tits himself pointed out, the work on transitivity properties of Lie groups led him to the combinatorial structure of parabolic subgroups, and finally to the definition of buildings. Also, Dynkin diagrams (called "figure de Schläfli") of simple Lie groups appear in [7], with a reference to Dynkin's work. In the early 60's, Tits wrote a whole series of papers on real forms of the exceptional Lie groups  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ . In these articles, his focus shifts already from Lie groups to semisimple algebraic groups over arbitrary fields.

At the same time the associated combinatorial structures emerge, which led him subsequently to the discovery of buildings.

I also want to mention the short Lecture Notes volume [11] on the representation theory of Lie groups. In combination with [13], where rational representations of reductive groups over arbitrary fields (and in particular of real Lie groups) are classified, these tables are indispensable for any Lie theorist.

His joint paper [1] with A. Borel on the structure of reductive algebraic groups over arbitrary fields still stands as a landmark paper and as a standard reference. The classification and the structure theory of semisimple algebraic groups over arbitrary fields is a recurring topic in Tits' work. His Boulder article [9] summarizes his results in a very nice and reader-friendly way. History and terminology are not always fair: what is nowadays often called the "Satake diagram" of a semisimple algebraic group should properly be called the "Tits diagram". These decorated Dynkin diagrams, together with the datum of the so-called anisotropic kernel, classify algebraic groups up to isogeny over arbitrary fields. This result is certainly one of the major mathematical achievements of Jacques Tits.

Another recurring theme in Tits' work is the underlying "abstract" group structure of a simple algebraic group or Lie group. In [8] he shows that if G is an absolutely simple algebraic group, defined over a sufficiently large field F, and if  $G^{\dagger} \subseteq G(F)$ is the subgroup generated by the F-rational unipotent elements, then  $G^{\dagger}/\text{Cen}(G^{\dagger})$  is simple as an abstract group. His proof uses spherical buildings and (B, N)-pairs in a systematic way. In another joint paper with A. Borel [15] he proves (essentially) that if the groups of rational points of two absolutely simple isotropic algebraic groups G and G', possibly defined over different fields F and F', are isomorphic as abstract groups, then G and G' are isomorphic as algebraic groups. (The precise statement is somewhat more elaborate, but this is basically the result.) Tits needed this for his work on the automorphism groups of spherical buildings associated to semisimple algebraic groups in [16]. It would be interesting to see if nowadays, with the advanced structure theory of buildings at hand, buildings could be used to prove this deep result in a completely geometric way.

**Buildings** The discovery of the various kinds of buildings is probably the mathematical topic which is associated most often with Jacques Tits. It had been known at least since the 19th century that the projective geometry, that is, the collection of all nontrivial subspaces of a given vector space V, is a very useful tool for studying the general linear group GL(V). It was also known that this projective geometry can be described by a relatively short list of geometric incidence axioms. The fundamental theorem of projective geometry asserts that

- (1) every projective geometry of rank at least 3 (in the synthetic sense) arises from some vector space over some field, and that
- (2) every automorphism of this geometry comes from a semi-linear automorphism of the vector space.

These are extremely useful facts, as they guarantee, in the presence of very few combinatorial axioms, the existence of a field, a vector space, and a linear group. Similar constructions were known for the other classical groups, like symplectic groups or orthogonal groups. However, it was not so clear which combinatorial structures should correspond to the exceptional groups like  $E_6$ ,  $E_7$  and  $E_8$ . Geometers such as H. Freudenthal devoted a large number of papers to this question. However, the combinatorial constructions looked complicated and a unifying theme was missing.

This changed completely with Tits' discoveries. Firstly, he showed in a uniform way that to every group G with a Tits system or (B, N)-pair, that is, with two subgroups  $B, N \subseteq G$  satisfying a list of four axioms, one can associate a combinatorial structure, the building  $\Delta(G)$ . The building is a simplicial complex, and G acts simplicially on it. Secondly, the building itself can be characterized by a very short set of combinatorial axioms. There is an associated Weyl group  $W = N/B \cap N$ , which describes the so-called type of the building. If W is finite, then the building is called spherical. Tits' discovery was that one can find such a (B, N)-pair in every simple isotropic F-algebraic group G. If the F-rank of G is at least 2, then  $G(F) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(F)$ is (essentially) the group of all combinatorial automorphisms of the building  $\Delta$ . The G(F)-action on the building can then be used in order to study G and its subgroups. This result is proved in Tits' Lecture Note [16]. The other important result proved in [16] is the classification of spherical buildings of rank at least 3. These spherical buildings arise from algebraic data (such as fields, division algebras, Cayley algebras, quadratic forms). In fact almost all of them arise from simple F-algebraic groups. The fundamental theorem of projective geometry is a special case of this result.

This classification result from [16], which one might call the fundamental theorem of spherical buildings, is the reason why buildings turned out to be so useful in group theory (including the classification of the finite simple groups) and geometry. Again, the presence of a combinatorial structure  $\Delta$  satisfying a short list of simple axioms guarantees the presence of a field *F* and (in most cases) a simple *F*-algebraic group acting on  $\Delta$ . This had, for example, striking applications in Riemannian geometry in connection with manifolds of nonpositive curvature and with isoparametric foliations.

At the very end of [16], the Moufang condition appears already. The Moufang condition, a transitivity property of the automorphism group of the building, turned out to be an essential property of spherical buildings. In [16] Tits shows that every spherical building of rank at least 3 satisfies the Moufang condition. The proof, albeit long, is strictly combinatorial. Once this is shown, the algebra of root groups and commutator relations may be used to translate the classification into an algebraic problem. To this end, Tits studies and classifies certain Moufang polygons, that is, spherical buildings of rank 2 satisfying the Moufang condition, in several of his papers. The complete classification was carried out by Tits and R.M. Weiss in the monograph [29] which is an almost mandatory supplement to Tits' collected works.

There is one other paper on buildings [18] that I want to mention here, where the "local approach" to buildings is carried out. The paper is important for several reasons. Firstly, it introduces a completely different approach to buildings as chamber systems, that is, as generalized Cayley graphs. This approach to buildings (which is shown to be functorially equivalent to the older one) is particularly well-suited for group-theoretic questions, while the older simplicial approach fits better into the world of metric geometry and nonpositive curvature. Secondly, this article gives a

criterion when a simplicial complex that looks locally like a building is actually a building or a quotient of a building. This result has become increasingly important during the last years, for example in Riemannian geometry. It has also led to the construction of exotic buildings and lattices, starting with a finite complex of finite groups. This article goes well with the fundamental papers by M. Gromov on geometric group theory that appeared at about the same time.

**Reductive Algebraic Groups over Local Fields** If G is a reductive isotropic group over a local field F, then there is the Tits system (B, N) and its spherical building  $\Delta$ , as described in the previous section. However the valuation of F gives rise to a richer subgroup structure of G(F) and eventually to a second Tits system (I, N), with the same subgroup N, but a different group  $I \subseteq G(F)$ , the Iwahori subgroup. The second building, X, associated to (I, N) is not of spherical type. Its Weyl group  $\overline{W} = N/N \cap I$  is an infinite euclidean reflection group, and X is a euclidean building. The simplicial complex X is unbounded and carries a metric of nonpositive curvature. There is a close relation between the two buildings: the spherical building  $\Delta$  appears as the "boundary at infinity" of the euclidean building X. In a series of joint papers with F. Bruhat [3-5], Tits developed the structure theory both of euclidean buildings and of reductive groups over local fields. In [23] he outlined how the classification of spherical buildings can be used to achieve a classification of euclidean buildings of dimension at least 3. In this article, he considers in fact a much wider class of metric spaces of nonpositive curvature, which need not be buildings in the combinatorial sense. Nowadays it is common to call these spaces nondiscrete euclidean buildings. Similarly to  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees, these spaces may branch everywhere.

Euclidean buildings are the counterparts in arithmetic geometry of the Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. They found numerous applications in various fields, and notably provide important tools for studying or constructing representations of reductive groups, for the Langlands program, for Shimura varieties, and for Deligne-Lusztig theory. Yet, they are also important in Riemannian geometry and geometric group theory, where the (wide open) rank rigidity problem predicts that euclidean buildings and symmetric spaces are basically the only irreducible locally compact higher rank spaces of nonpositive curvature admitting a cocompact group action.

**Kac-Moody Groups and Twin Buildings** Kac-Moody algebras, which are infinitedimensional generalizations of semisimple Lie algebras, were discovered independently by V. Kac and R. Moody. These algebras attracted immediately the attention of theoretical physicists, and they play nowadays a role in various physical models. The construction of Kac-Moody groups, however, turned out to be more complicated. For complex affine Kac-Moody algebras one can construct corresponding groups as loop groups of compact Lie groups. However, this method fails for other algebras. In [22, 24, 26, 27] Tits shows that there is a group functor that associates to every Kac-Moody datum and every field *F* a split Kac-Moody group G(F). The construction resembles the construction of complex simple Lie groups in terms of the Steinberg relations. Associated to *G*, there are now two Tits systems  $(B_{\pm}, N)$  and two buildings  $\Delta_{\pm}$ , sharing the same Weyl group *W*. The group G(F) acts on both buildings, preserving a map  $\delta^* : \Delta^+ \times \Delta^- \to W$ , the codistance function. This structure is called a twin building. Twin buildings over finite fields have been used, for example, by B. Rémy to construct new simple locally compact groups and lattices acting on spaces of nonpositive curvature.

**Coxeter Groups, the Tits Alternative, Trees, and the Monster** The geometry and structure of Coxeter groups is essential for understanding buildings. Tits has devoted several papers to Coxeter groups, to their structure and to the solution of the word problem. Bourbaki's volume [2] was heavily influenced by Tits. These articles are still the standard references for Coxeter groups and root systems.

Such questions belong to the wider area of geometric group theory. Perhaps the most famous contribution of Tits here is what is nowadays called the Tits alternative [14]: If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated linear group, then  $\Gamma$  either is virtually solvable, or it contains the free group on two generators.

Trees are special cases of euclidean buildings. Tits studies group actions on trees and related automorphism groups in [12, 17]. He proves a simplicity criterion for groups acting on trees in [12]. In [17] he introduces what is nowadays called an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree, and he proves that a solvable group acting without fixed point on such a tree fixes one end or two ends.  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees have since then become quite important in geometric group theory and in Riemannian geometry, for example in connection with degenerations of hyperbolic structures on closed manifolds.

Tits' work had also a significant impact on finite group theory. The classification of the finite spherical buildings of rank at least 3, carried out in [16], plays an important role in the classification of the finite simple groups. Tits investigated also the sporadic finite simple groups, and the Tits group of order  $2^{11}3^35^213$  was discovered by him [8]. He wrote two papers on the Monster, simplifying Griess' construction [19, 21] and one on the Moonshine module [25].

**The Volumes** Jacques Tits' works appeared in four volumes, published by the European Mathematics Society and edited by F. Buekenhout, B. Mühlherr, J.-P. Tignol and H. Van Maldeghem. The editors have done a great job collecting and reproducing Tits' works. This was apparently not always an easy task, as there are several papers that were difficult to obtain (and to reproduce). Also, they included some manuscripts which were previously unpublished. In particular, the editors have included (and re-typed) two important sets of lecture notes from Yale [10, 20]. Each volume closes with an interesting list of comments and notes by the editors, pointing towards further developments, corrections and complements. Everyone interested in group theory should have access to these four volumes. What is not included are the *Résumés de Cours* articles with Tits' contributions from 1973 to 2000. But these are available from the Société Mathématique de France in a nice separate volume [28]. And finally, the monograph "Moufang Polygons" by Tits and Weiss [29] should be mentioned here. The combination of these six books encompasses some of the finest group theory and geometry of our time.

## References

- Borel, A., Tits, J.: Groupes réductifs. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 27, 659–755 (1965). Zbl 0145.17402, [61]<sup>1</sup>
- Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et Algèbres de Lie. Chapitres IV, V et VI. Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, vol. 1337. Hermann & Cie, Paris (1968). Zbl 0186.33001
- Bruhat, F., Tits, J.: Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, I. Donnés radicielles valuées. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 41, 5–251 (1972). Zbl 0254.14017, [88]
- Bruhat, F., Tits, J.: Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, II. Schémas en groupes. Existence d'une donnée radicielle valuée. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 60, 1–194 (1984). Zbl 0597.14041 [127]
- Bruhat, F., Tits, J.: Schémas en groupes et immeubles des groupes classiques sur un corps local. Bull. Soc. Math. Fr. 112, 259–301 (1984). Zbl 0565.14028 [128]
- Tits, J.: Généralisations des groupes projectifs basées sur leurs propriétés de transitivité. Mém. Cl. Sci., Acad. R. Belg., Coll. 8 27(2), 115 (1952). Zbl 0048.25702, [10]
- Tits, J.: Sur certaines classes d'espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie. Mém. Cl. Sci., Acad. R. Belg., Coll. 8 29(3), 268 (1955). Zbl 0067.12301, [27]
- Tits, J.: Algebraic and abstract simple groups. Ann. Math. (2) 80, 313–329 (1964). Zbl 0131.26501, [56]
- 9. Tits, J.: Classification of algebraic semi-simple groups. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 9, 33–62 (1966). Zbl 0238.20052, [68]
- 10. Tits, J.: Lectures on Algebraic Groups. Yale University Press, New Haven (1967). [B1]
- Tits, J.: Tabellen zu den einfachen Lie-Gruppen und ihren Darstellungen. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 40. Springer, Berlin (1967). Zbl 0166.29703, [74]
- Tits, J.: Sur le groupe des automorphismes d'un arbre. Essays Topol. Relat. Top., Mém. dédiés à Georges de Rham, 188–211 (1970). Zbl 0214.51301, [81]
- Tits, J.: Représentations linéaires irréductibles d'un groupe réductif sur un corps quelconque. J. Reine Angew. Math. 247, 196–220 (1971). Zbl 0227.20015, [85]
- 14. Tits, J.: Free subgroups in linear groups. J. Algebra 20, 250–270 (1972). Zbl 0236.20032, [86]
- Tits, J.: Homomorphismes 'abstraits' de groupes algébriques simples. Ann. Math. (2) 97, 499–571 (1973). Zbl 0272.14013, [92]
- Tits, J.: Buildings of Spherical Type and Finite BN-Pairs. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 386. Springer, Berlin (1974). Zbl 0295.20047, [82]
- Tits, J.: A 'theorem of Lie-Kolchin' for trees. Contrib. to Algebra, Collect. Pap. dedic. E. Kolchin, 377–388 (1977). Zbl 0373.20039, [102]
- Tits, J.: A local approach to buildings. In: The Geometric Vein. The Coxeter Festschrift, pp. 519–547 (1982). Zbl 0496.51001, [123]
- 19. Tits, J.: On R. Griess' 'Friendly giant'. Invent. Math. 78, 491-499 (1984). Zbl 0548.20011, [129]
- Tits, J.: Affine Buildings, Arithmetic Groups and Finite Geometries. Yale University, Department of Mathematics (1984). [B2]
- Tits, J.: Le monstre (d'après R. Griess, B. Fischer et al.), Sémin. Bourbaki, 36e année, Vol. 1983/84, Exp. No. 620. Astérisque 121–122, 105–122 (1985). Zbl 0548.20010, [130]
- Tits, J.: Groups and group functors attached to Kac-Moody data. In: Arbeitstag. Proc. Meet. Max-Planck-Inst. Math., Bonn 1984. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1111, pp. 193–223 (1984). Zbl 0572.17010, [132]
- Tits, J.: Immeubles de type affine, Buildings and the geometry of diagrams. In: Lect. 3rd 1984 Sess. C.I.M.E., Como/Italy, 1984. Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1181, pp. 159–190 (1986). Zbl 0611.20026, [135]
- Tits, J.: Uniqueness and presentation of Kac-Moody groups over fields. J. Algebra 105, 542–573 (1987). Zbl 0626.22013, [139]
- Tits, J.: Le module du 'moonshine' (d'après I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky et A. Meurman), Sémin. Bourbaki, 39ème année, Vol. 1986/87, Exp. 684. Astérisque 152/153, 285–303 (1987). Zbl 0699.20015, [143]
- Tits, J.: Groupes associés aux algèbres de Kac-Moody, Sémin. Bourbaki, Vol. 31, 41e année (1988/1989), Exp. No. 700. Astérisque 177–178, 7–31 (1989). Zbl 0705.17018, [150]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Numbers in brackets are those from the volumes.

- Tits, J.: Twin buildings and groups of Kac-Moody type. In: Liebeck, M., et al. (eds.) Groups, Combinatorics and Geometry. Proceedings of the L.M.S. Durham Symposium. Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., vol. 165, pp. 249–286. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992). Zbl 0851.22023, [158]
- Tits, J.: Résumés des cours au Collège de France (1973–2000). Documents Mathématiques (Paris), vol. 12. Société Mathématique de France, Paris (2013). Zbl 1286.01001
- Tits, J., Weiss, R.: Moufang Polygons. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin (2002). Zbl 1010.20017

BOOK REVIEW



# Frederic Paugam: "Towards the Mathematics of Quantum Field Theory"

Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, Vol. 59, Springer-Verlag, 2014, 487 pp.

# Walter D. van Suijlekom<sup>1</sup>

Published online: 18 August 2015 © Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung and Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015



Quantum field theory can be considered as one of the cornerstones of modern physics. Historically, it originates from the problem of quantizing the electromagnetic field, dating back to shortly after the advent of quantum mechanics. This amounts to understanding the quantization of a system with infinitely many degrees of freedom, namely, the values of the electromagnetic field at all spacetime points. It turned out that precisely this property would form the main obstacle in the search for a mathematical formalism for quantum field theories.

In the 1950s this led to a separation of the field. On the one hand perturbation theory led to a computationally

yet undefeated approach, where in particular Feynman's graphical method streamlined the analysis of physical probability amplitudes. On the other hand, an elegant axiomatic approach to quantum fields emerged through the work of Haag, Kastler, Wightman and others, leading to the subject known as *algebraic quantum field theory*. For a historical account we refer to [7]. Even though the two approaches ought to be complementary, it turned out that the separation only became larger and larger towards the end of the last century. This was mainly due to the fact that physically relevant interacting quantum fields were found difficult to be included in the strict algebraic formulation, while at the same time the computational approach of Feynman amplitudes mistified the mathematical structure behind this successful branch of physics.

W.D. van Suijlekom waltervs@math.ru.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nijmegen, The Netherlands

The last fifteen years, however, have seen a lot of progress that gives reason to be more optimistic. This is partly based on the work that is done in the analysis of the mathematical structure of Feynman amplitudes and, at the same time, on the formulation of *perturbative* algebraic quantum field theory. The book under review is a valuable witness to a wide range of recent developments that aim at unraveling the mathematics of quantum field theory. As such, it touches on several newly developed fields in mathematics that are either inspired by quantum field theory or play an important role towards understanding it.

In contrast to textbooks such as [4–6, 9] that present the physicists' rudiments of quantum field theory to a mathematical audience, the approach taken by Paugam can be described as the opposite, let us say top-down, approach. It rather describes the moving forefront of the field, where new mathematics is explored and developed to build a rigorous formalism for quantum field theory. This makes the textbook an exciting one, presenting an original view on quantum field theory, but also of a more advanced level. The graduate students to which the author addresses his book are therefore warned to come well-prepared. For one thing, the central pillar of the book is formed by categorial logic and category theory on which the author admits in his preface that "[the] distilled axioms are pretty indigestible", suggesting to read the relevant chapters simultaneously with the later, more explicit chapters. I would add to that the suggestion that to understand the motivation for setting up this mathematical formalism, some background on quantum field theory might be useful, referring the reader to one of the above more "bottom-up" textbooks.

The book is divided into three parts. The first part presents the toolbox of higher category theory and its use in an original and concise description of differential geometry, partial differential equations, affine group theory and Hopf algebras, symplectic and Poisson geometry and, finally, homotopical algebra.

The second part deals with classical physics, focussing mainly on variational problems. An extensive list of physical models are nicely described in the mathematical language of the first part. The discussion of each of the models is rather brief—the Standard Model of particle physics for instance only takes two pages—but it is always accompanied by a reference for further reading.

In the third part we come to the main topic of this book: quantum fields. In an attempt to complement the top-down viewpoint of the book, let me describe this part in somewhat more detail, also including the references back to the relevant chapters in Part I and II.

The first approach to quantum field theory addressed in Paugam's book is the conventional one, using functional integrals and perturbative methods. The central ingredient is Feynman's path integral that is supposed to compute physical probability amplitudes. A priori, this path integral is ill-defined and the main challenge is to give at least some computational significance to it. With the desired *constructive* formulation of quantum fields still lacking (see [8] for an overview) this is most successfully accomplished in a perturbative approach: one perturbs a free quantum field by interactions, organized in a nice graph theoretical way by Feynman diagrams. Each of these diagrams corresponds to a so-called Feynman integral (not to be confused with the path integral) that contributes to the physical probability amplitudes.

In Paugam's book we find the free scalar quantum field in Chap. 17 using the Klein–Gordon equation (cf. Chap. 15.1), following a discussion on quantization of

classical hamiltonian systems (cf. Chap. 8). Functional integrals and Feynman's perturbative approach are the subject of Chap. 18, also including the physically relevant class of gauge field theories. Note that for the expected link to functorial analysis developed in Chap. 4, the reader has to wait until the beginning of Chap. 20.

There is at least one caveat in the above perturbative approach to quantum field theory: the Feynman integrals corresponding to Feynman diagrams are divergent integrals. This is the beginning of the process of renormalization, which, in short, is similar to Cauchy's principal value that assigns a finite value to a divergent integral. For Feynman integrals one finds the further complication of subdivergences corresponding to subgraphs, but whose renormalization can be organized in a recursive manner. Such renormalization schemes have been vital in the formulation of Yang–Mills gauge theories and eventually of the extremely successful Standard Model of particle physics.

At the beginning of the new millennium an algebraic structure underlying renormalization was discovered by Connes and Kreimer. They build a Hopf algebra on Feynman diagrams and realized renormalization as a Birkhoff decomposition for the affine group scheme dual to the Hopf algebra. This was later worked out by Kreimer and the reviewer for gauge theories, where Hopf ideals corresponding to quantum gauge symmetries were identified.

The renormalization Hopf algebra for Feynman diagrams in gauge theories is presented in Chap. 19 where also the dual affine group schemes are identified. Here the general theory on affine group schemes and Hopf algebras is used from Chaps. 5 and 6.

An alternative approach to quantum field theory is Wilson's effective field theory. The idea is to enhance the classical Lagrangian or action functional to take also quantum effects into account. One then proceeds to study the flow of these effective action functionals as energy varies by analyzing the renormalization group equations.

These methods are well-established by physicists and have recently been put into a mathematical formalism by Costello in [3]. This includes gauge theories where the BV-approach plays a prominent role, even though Yang–Mills theory can only be cast into this formalism in its first-order formulation.

Paugam presents Costello's approach in Chap. 21, including the BV-formalism that reflects some of the structure of BV-algebras found in Chap. 13.

One of the recent exciting developments in algebraic quantum field theory is the opening to a perturbative description through the work of Brunetti, Dütsch and Fredenhagen [1] and further developed by others. The idea is to formulate perturbation theory using a \*-product deformation of the free quantum field theory, thereby using Epstein–Glaser renormalization.

Chapter 22 gives an overview of the results in this direction, including the case of gauge theories and also linking to Hopf algebraic renormalization methods in the final section.

The \*-product of the previous paragraph is a concept coming from deformation quantization. A central result by Kontsevich is the construction of a \*-product for any Poisson manifold, deforming the pointwise product of smooth functions thereon. It is tempting to apply this directly to the field theory setting and try to arrive at a non-perturbative algebraic quantum field theory, but for now Kontsevich's construction is

restricted to the finite-dimensional case. Nevertheless, a link between deformation quantization and quantum fields has been established by Cattaneo and Felder [2] by writing the \*-product as a path integral expectation value for the Poisson  $\sigma$ -model.

Paugam gives an overview of deformation quantization including this link to quantum field theory in Chap. 23, with the mathematical preliminaries found in Chap. 10, and the Poisson  $\sigma$ -model briefly explained in Chap. 16.3. The author's generalization to non-topological quantum field theories using factorization spaces can be found in Chap. 24, whose mathematical basis is laid in Chap. 12.

In summary, "Towards the Mathematics of Quantum Field Theory" is precisely what the title tells you: it gives an overview of some of the mathematical research that is done on unravelling the structure of rigorous quantum field theory. Though at some points quite advanced and concise—requiring the reader to consult additional literature—the book is well written with an original organization of the material. Its reductionist viewpoint makes it a valuable textbook, especially for the more experienced practitioners in this domain of mathematical physics.

# References

- Brunetti, R., Dütsch, M., Fredenhagen, K.: Perturbative algebraic quantum field theory and the renormalization groups. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 13, 1541–1599 (2009)
- Cattaneo, A.S., Felder, G.: A path integral approach to the Kontsevich quantization formula. Commun. Math. Phys. 212, 591–611 (2000)
- Costello, K.: Renormalization and Effective Field Theory. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 170. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2011)
- 4. de Faria, E., de Melo, W.: Mathematical Aspects of Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 127. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
- Deligne, P., Etingof, P., Freed, D.S., Jeffrey, L.C., Kazhdan, D., Morgan, J.W., Morrison, D.R., Witten, E. (eds.): Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians. Vol. 1, 2. Am. Math. Soc., Institute for Advanced Study, Providence, Princeton (1999)
- Folland, G.B.: Quantum Field Theory. A Tourist Guide for Mathematicians. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 149. Am. Math. Soc., Providence (2008)
- 7. Kuhlmann, M.: Quantum field theory. In: Zalta, E.N. (ed.) The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (2015)
- 8. Summers, S.J.: A perspective on constructive quantum field theory. arXiv:1203.3991
- Zeidler, E.: Quantum Field Theory. A Bridge Between Mathematicians and Physicists (Volume I–VI). Springer, Berlin (2006, 2009, 2011) and forthcoming